



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Ninth day of January Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.142 of 2018

BALAMURUGAN @ PAPPAN

... PETITIONER/2ND ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
TOWN POLICE STATION, SATTUR,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.713 OF 2017)

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.P.MURUGESAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.BHARATHI Government Advocate (Cr1. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 379 IPC read with Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.713 of 2017 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.


2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner transported four gunny bags of river sand without obtaining any valid permission from the appropriate authority. Hence, the present complaint is registered against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that based on the confession statement given by one Nagarajan, the petitioner's name has been implicated in this case and he is no way connected with the alleged offence and pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the petitioner along with other accused transported four gunny bags of river sand. She further submitted that the investigation is still pending.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

5.The submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on



either side are considered. This case has been registered against the petitioner for the alleged offence under Section 379 IPC read with Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957. It is alleged that during the time of occurrence, the petitioner committed theft of river sand to the tune of four gunny bags by using TVS 50. Now, the stolen property and the vehicle, which was used for the commission of offence, have been recovered. Accordingly, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary for completing the investigation.

6. Taking note of all the abovesaid aspects into consideration and having regard to the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. II, Sattur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;


(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(v) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**

sd/-
09/01/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



PS/CP
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, SATTUR

2 DO THOROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
TOWN POLICE STATION, SATTUR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.P.MURUGESAN Advocate SR.No.524

GJM/CM.VR.SAR-4-11.1.18-3P-6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.142 of 2018

Date :09/01/2018