



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Monday, the Twenty Ninth day of January Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.1342 of 2018

1 KARUPPASAMY  
2 KARTHIVEL

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED Nos.1&2

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
VILATHIKULAM POLICE STATION,  
IN CR.NO.9/2018,  
TUTICORIN DISTRICT

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.M.SUBASH BABU Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.ROBINSON, Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 506(ii) IPC r/w Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.9 of 2018 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to some family dispute, the petitioners assaulted the de facto complainant and caused injury.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they are no way connected with the offences, and pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital and the investigation is still pending.

5.The submissions made by the learned counsel on either side are considered. This case has been registered against the petitioner for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 506(ii) IPC r/w Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act. It is alleged that during the time of occurrence, due to



family dispute, the petitioners assaulted the de facto complainant. As per the submissions of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), the person, who sustained injuries at the time of occurrence, has been discharged from the hospital after completing treatment. Apart from that, except Sections 506 (ii) IPC & Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act the other offences mentioned in the petition are bailable in nature.

7. Taking all the above said aspects into consideration and having regard to the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.
- (ii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

29/01/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
VILATHIKULAM, TUTICORIN DISTRICT

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
TUTICORIN DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
VILATHIKULAM POLICE STATION,  
TUTICORIN DISTRICT

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI

JAM/01/02/2018/RR/ SAR 3/ 2P-5C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1342 of 2018

Date :29/01/2018