



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Ninth day of January Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP (MD) No.1025 of 2018

SARAVANAN @ SARAVANAKUMAR ... PETITIONER / 4th ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DINDIGUL TOWN SOUTH POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CR.NO.53 OF 2018

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.D.VENKATESH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.ROBINSON Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner/accused No.4, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506 (ii) of IPC., in Crime No.53 of 2018, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous motive, the petitioner along with other accused persons waylaid the defacto complainant and his son, abused them using filthy language, assaulted them and caused injuries. Hence, the case has been registered for the above said occurrence.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further stated that A2 alone assaulted them by using an iron rod. Hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent on instructions would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that the investigation is still pending.

5. The submissions made by the learned counsel on either side are considered. It is alleged that due to previous enmity, the



petitioner along with other accused persons assaulted the defacto complainant. According to the prosecution, the person, who sustained injury during the time of alleged occurrence was discharged from the hospital after completing treatment. Further, except the offence under Section 506(ii) of IPC., all other petition mentioned offences are bailable in nature. Hence, custodial interrogation may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Therefore, considering the above facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with conditions. Accordingly, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(iv) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-
29/01/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III, DINDIGUL.



2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DINDIGUL TOWN SOUTH POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.D.VENKATESH Advocate SR.No.1458

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1025 of 2018
Date :29/01/2018

MKV-PM-PN-SAR 3/31.1.2018/3P-6C