

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 09.11.2018
CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

CRL.O.P.No.25883 of 2018

M/s. Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited,
having its Circle office at A1 Tower, 8th Floor,
89-90, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai-600 004.
represented by its Legal Manager,
Mr.D.Kalaimani.

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Kancheepuram,
Collectorate Compound,
Vandavasi Road, Kancheepuram-631 501.

2.The Inspector of Police,
D1, Chengalpattu Town Police Station,
GST Main Road,
Kancheepuram - 603 001.

...Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original petition is filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, seeking direction to the respondents herein to provide adequate police protection to the Petitioner Company and its staff and Subordinates to erect, commissioning of equipments and to radiate the transmission tower at the lease site namely vacant site measuring 900 Sq.ft., comprised in Old Grama Natham Survey No.2B1A/3A1A1A, 664/1A/2 Part, 100/2 Part, Manaivari Patta No.1800 as per Patta Survey Nos.662/15, 664/1A, 665/2B4, 665/4A, Chengalpattu Village, Chengalpattu Taluk, Kancheepuram District.

For Petitioner : M/s.N.R.Anantha Ramakrishnan

For respondents: Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents herein to provide adequate police protection to the Petitioner Company and its staff and Subordinates to erect, commissioning of equipments and to radiate the transmission tower at the lease site namely vacant site measuring 900 Sq.ft., comprised in Old Grama Natham Survey No.2B1A/3A1A1A, 664/1A/2 Part, 100/2 Part, Manaivari Patta No.1800 as per Patta Survey

Nos.662/15, 664/1A, 665/2B4, 665/4A, Chengalpattu Village, Chengalpattu Taluk, Kancheepuram District.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is engaged in the business of providing broadband internet services and other allied services throughout India. For the said purpose, cell phone towers are going to be erected. The petitioner had also entered into a lease agreement with the owner of the property and had taken steps to install the cell phone tower. Already, the Government of Tamil Nadu has granted exemption to all the Telecom companies to install the cell phone towers by virtue of Government Order passed in G.O.Ms.No.2, dated 01.04.2002.

3.When the petitioner attempted to erect the cell phone tower, the residents of the area came to the spot and started causing hindrance to the company officials from carrying out the construction work. The petitioner also gave a representation to the respondents Police to provide them with protection. However, the respondents Police had not acted upon the said complaint and therefore, the present Criminal Original Petition has been filed.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the issue involved in this Criminal Original Petition is covered by the earlier Judgments of this Court. The learned counsel brought to the notice of this Court the order passed by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.16618 of 2018, wherein the very same petitioner was a party.

5.It will be appropriate to extract the relevant portions of the order in Crl.O.P.No.16618 of 2018, which is extracted hereunder:-

"2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's company had the contract agreement for installation of cell phone tower with M/s.Indus Towers Limited, a company registered under the provisions of Indian Companies Act, 1956. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that whenever the petitioner's company attempted to erect transmission cell phone tower, publics are causing interference. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that by order dated 05.03.2015, the Honourable First Bench of this Court, in W.P.Nos.24976 of 2008 and etc., batch, held that because of the advancement in the science, no one has prevented to erect cell phone towers. It is also submitted that the petitioner has given a complaint dated 24.02.2016 to the first respondent police seeking police protection, for which C.S.R.No.42 of 2016 and since the same has not been considered so far, he has come up with the present petition for the relief stated

supra.

3. Heard the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to send a fresh representation to the respondents and on receipt of the same, the respondents are directed to consider the representation to be submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of."

6. This Court has consistently taken the view that no one can be prevented from erecting the cell phone towers on a mere apprehension about the effect of radiation from the cell phone tower. The apprehension does not have a scientific backing. Till a positive finding is given in this regard, cell phone towers cannot be prevented to be installed on mere apprehensions.

7. For the reasons stated above, there shall be a direction to the respondents police to provide police protection to the petitioner for erection of the cell phone tower. The respondents police shall ensure that the entire process goes on in a smooth manner, without giving raise to any law and order problem.

8. The Criminal Original Petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rm/rri

To

1. The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Madley Road, T.Nagar, Chennai - 600 017.

2. The Inspector of Police,
R9, Valasaravakkam Police Station,
Chowdry Nagar Main Road,
Valasaravakkam, Chennai - 600 087.

+1cc to Mr.N.R.Anantha Ramakrishnan, Advocate, S.R.No.76611

CRL.O.P.No.25883 of 2018

rrs 15/11/2018