

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 10.12.2018

CORAM
THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE S.VIMALA
W.P.No.32478 of 2018

K.N.Ravindran

...Petitioner

Vs

The Director General of Police
Director General of Police Office
Kamarajar Salai, Chennai 600 004

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Madhu Prakash

For Respondent : Mr.R.S.Selvam, Government Advocate

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to consider the representation dt.29.8.2018; and to consider the seniority of police officers with regards to providing retirement benefits in consonance with the settled principles of law as well as the principles of natural justice.

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed, seeking direction to the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner and to pass orders on the same. The petitioner joined the police department on 12.08.1980 as Grade II constable. He was promoted as Head constable on 01.12.1999 and was appointed as Special Sub Inspector of Police on 16.03.2010. He was posted in the Vigilance Department of the Electricity Board and thereafter he was selected for promotion to the post of Sub Inspector of Police on 03.01.2013. After serving for nearly 38 years in the police department, the petitioner retired from service. The grievance of the petitioner is that without considering the seniority, his juniors were promoted and no explanation was given for non promotion of this petitioner. This has resulted in improper calculation of retirement benefits. The petitioner has submitted a representation on 23.08.2018 in this regard. As this representation was not considered, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

2. Mr.R.S.Selvam, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the respondent. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3. In view of the limited relief sought for, this Court is of the view that it is not necessary to dwell into the merits of the issue, but it would be suffice to direct the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass orders on the same within a particular time frame.

5. In the above circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 29.08.2018 and to pass orders thereon, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

KST

To

The Director General of Police
Director General of Police Office
Kamarajar Salai, Chennai 600 004

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.85482

W.P.No.32478 of 2018

VGI (CO)

rrs 03/01/2019

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY