

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.10.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.28461 of 2018

P.Selvi

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Collector,
District Collector Office,
62, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600 001.

2.The Tahsildar,
Purasawalkam Taluk,
Periamet, Chennai - 600 003.

3.P.Kannagi

4.Vigneswaran

5.Rangilla

6.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Chennai.

... Respondents

(6th respondent, suo motu impleaded as per order of
this Court dated 30.10.2018 in W.P.No.28461 of 2018)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of mandamus,
directing the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation
dated 27.04.2018 made by the petitioner and also disposed of
the same in accordance with law within a time frame as may be
fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Muralidhara Rao

For Respondents : Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan
Special Government Pleader
for R1 & R2

ORDER

Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan, learned Special Government
Pleader takes notice for the respondents 1 and 2. By consent of
both parties, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal
at the admission stage itself.

2.The petitioner has come up with this writ petition seeking a direction to the respondents 1 to 2 to consider her representation dated 27.04.2018.

3.According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 27.04.2018 to the first respondent, seeking to cancel the legal heir certificate dated 01.10.2015 issued in favour of one P.Kannagi, but, the same has not been considered by the first respondent. Hence, the petitioner is before this Court.

4. The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents, submitted that the Revenue Divisional Officer, Chennai is the competent authority and he would consider the representation of the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, suo motu impleads the Revenue Divisional Officer, Chennai as a necessary party/respondent and directs him to consider the petitioner's representation dated 27.04.2018 and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner as well as other interested parties, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Deputy Registrar

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते Sub Assistant Registrar

ms

To

1.The Collector,
District Collector Office,
62, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600 001.

2.The Tahsildar,
Purasawalkam Taluk,
Periamet,
Chennai - 600 003.

WEB COPY

3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Chennai.

+1 cc to Mr.R.Anand Kumar, Advocate SR.No.74071

+1 cc to The Government Pleader, SR.No.74902

W.P.No.28461 of 2018

CSL/14.12.2018



WEB COPY