

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.12.2018

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Cont.P.No.2453 of 2018

A.Kalaiyasari

... Petitioner

Vs

Mr.Sivasankar,
The Branch Manager,
Indian Bank, Koliyanur Branch
Villupuram District.

... Respondent

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, praying to punish the respondent for the willful disobedience by not complying the order passed in W.P.No.30080 of 2017 dated 26.02.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Suresh Babu
For Respondent : Mr.Kalyanaraman
for M/s.Aiyar & Dolia

सत्यमेव जयते

ORDER

WEB COPY

This contempt petition is filed by complaining that the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.30080 of 2017 dated 26.02.2018 has not been complied with.

2. While disposing the said writ petition, this

Court has directed the third respondent-Bank therein, to
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

consider the application of the petitioner dated 11.11.2014

for grant of TAHDCO loan and pass orders on the same, after giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

3. After notice, this contempt petition is taken up today for further hearing.

4. The learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the respondent herein, who is the third respondent in WP.No.30080 of 2017, has already communicated a letter dated 03.05.2018 to the petitioner stating that the application of the petitioner was already rejected by the District Manager, TAHDCO Office, Madukarai Branch.

5. Under the above circumstances, if the petitioner is aggrieved against the rejection of her application for grant of TAHDCO loan, she has to work out her remedy by challenging such rejection in the manner known to law. Without doing so, the petitioner is not entitled to complaint as though the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.30080 of 2017 dated 26.02.2018 has been violated, especially, when this Court has not issued any positive direction to the respondent herein to issue such loan.

5. Accordingly, this Contempt Petition is closed, by granting liberty to the petitioner to work out her remedy in the manner known to law. The respondent-Bank is also

directed to communicate the rejection order issued by the Madukarai Branch to the petitioner.

SD/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM.CASES)

mk

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2019.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

PS/28/12/2018



WEB COPY