

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.08.2018

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

W.P.No.36502 of 2004

M.P.Nallasivam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Regional Transport Authority,  
Tiruchirappalli.

2. R.Narayanan

3. The State Transport  
Appellate Tribunal,  
City Civil Court Buildings,  
Chennai -104.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records of the 3rd respondent relating to appeal no.1135 of 2003 dated 29/06/2004 modifying the orders of the first respondent made in R.No.10614 of A4/2003 dated 30.09.2003 and to quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.Gopalakrishnan and  
Mr.V.Sachinandam

For Respondents: Mr.M.Palani for R2,  
Mr.D.Raja for R1

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the relief sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous and hence the writ petition may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the petition.

2.Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

pkn


To

The State Transport  
Appellate Tribunal,  
City Civil Court Buildings,  
Chennai - 104.

+lcc to M/S.M.Palani, Advocate Sr.55596  
+cc to the Government Pleader Sr.56836

W.P.No.36502 of 2004

nri[col]  
srg 29/08/2018



WEB COPY