

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09.10.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M. GOVINDARAJ

C.S.No.487 of 2017

and

O.A.No.628 of 2017

and

A.No.3606 of 2017

1.M.M.George

2.P.C.Mathew

3.Wilson Baby

4.P.M.Yesudas

5.Thampi T.Jooahy

... Plaintiff

. Vs .

1.The Society of the Church of God

(Full Gospel) in India,

Represented by its Chairman,

1, Dewan Rama Road,

Purasawalkam,

Chennai-600 084.

2.Rev.G.Gnanadhas,

National Secretary,

The Society of the Church of God,

1, Dewan Rama Road,

Purasawalkam,

Chennai-600 084. ... Defendant

Plaint filed under Order IV Rule 1 of O.S.Rules read with Order VII Rule 1 of C.P.C.

a. By way of a declaration declaring that the notice dated 15.03.2017 issued by the second defendant and communicated only to approved ministers without constituting the General Body of the first defendant society as per Rule 2 of the Rules and Regulations of the society of the Church of God (Full Gospel) in India is illegal, null and void and without jurisdiction and consequently by way of a permanent injunction restraining the defendants from convening the meeting of the society without electing the temporary members from the local churches attached to the Church of God (Full Gospel) in India.

b) by way of permanent injunction restraining the defendants from holding the meeting styled as General Body Meeting of the Society of the Church of God (Full Gospel) in India on 29.06.2017 or on any subsequent meeting without the participation of the temporary members of the General Body of the society.

c) directing the contesting defendants to pay costs of the suit to the plaintiff.

For Plaintiffs : Mr.R.Vinoth Kumar
For Defendants : M/s.J.Titus Enock

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for both sides submit that as per the order of this Court dated 28.08.2017, the General Body Meeting was held on 01.11.2017, after issuing notice to all the Churches. The grievance of the plaintiff has been redressed.

2.The learned counsel for the defendants would submit that after conducting a General Body Meeting on 01.11.2017, the General Body Meeting of 2018 is also over. Nothing survives in the suit. Recording the submission of both counsel, the suit is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous application is closed.

Registry is directed to refund the Court fee, if permissible, under the Act and Rules.

09.10.2018

KP

To

The Sub Assistant Registrar,
Original Side,
High Court, Madras.

M. GOVINDARAJ,. J

KP

C.S.No.487 of 2017

09.10.2018