

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.11.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.SELVAM
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.V.MURALIDARAN

W.A.Nos.921 and 1007 of 2017
and
C.M.P.No.14198 of 2017 in W.A.No.1007 of 2017

S.R.Jangid, IPS.,
Additional Director General of Police,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu. .. Appellant in both appeals

- Vs -

1.V.Vidya

2.Union of India
represented by the
Secretary to Government,
Home Department, New Delhi.

3.The Secretary,
Government of India,
Personnel and Administrative Dept,
New Delhi.

4.The Chief Secretary,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600009.

5.The Home Secretary,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600009.

6. The Director General of Police,
The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Kamaraj Salai, Mylapore,
Chennai - 600004.

.. Respondents in both appeals

Writ Appeal No.921 of 2017 filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent against the order in M.P.No.21151 of 2017 in W.P.No.19586 of 2017 dated 31.07.2017. Writ Appeal No.1007 of 2017 filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent against the order in W.P.No.21268 of 2017 dated 16.08.2017.

Appearance:

For Appellant : Mr.K.Sampath Kumar
[in both appeals]

For Respondents : Ms.R.Vidya, Party-in-Person [R1], No appearance
[in both appeals] Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan,
Special Government Pleader [R4 to R6]

COMMON JUDGMENT

[Judgment of the Court was made by **C.T.SELVAM, J**]

Writ Appeal No.921 of 2017 has been filed against the order in M.P.No.21151 of 2017 in W.P.No.19586 of 2017 dated 31.07.2017 while Writ Appeal No.1007 of 2017 has been filed against the order in W.P.No.21268 of 2017 dated 16.08.2017.

2. Heard learned counsel for appellant and learned Special Government

Pleader for respondents 4 to 6. There is no appearance for first respondent, party-in-person.

3. S.L.P.No.665 of 2018, preferred by appellant, challenging the judgment in W.A.No.1007 of 2017 dated 21.08.2017 came to dismissed under judgment dated 29.01.2018, wherein it had been observed thus:

'3. No ground for interference is made in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution of India. The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.'

4. However, other grievance of the petitioner is that the writ appeals filed before the High Court, are required to be heard by the Single Judge. There is no objection with regard to clubbing of the writ petitions which have been filed by the parties and to be heard by Single Judge.'

5. It would be appropriate in the fitness of the case that all the writ petitions are clubbed together and heard by the Single Judge.'

6. It is stated by learned counsel that the petitioner has already been promoted. His statement is placed on record. The promotion of the petitioner is not to be disturbed. Accordingly, nothing survives in the writ appeals pending before the High Court and the same stand disposed of. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid directions.'

WEB COPY

C.T.SELVAM, J
and
M.V.MURALIDARAN, J

gm

In the light of the above judgment, the Writ Appeals do not survive consideration. Accordingly, the Writ Appeals are dismissed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[C.T.S., J] [M.V.M., J]

22.11.2018

Index:yes/no, Internet:yes

gm

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Union of India
Home Department,
New Delhi.
- 2.The Secretary,
Government of India,
Personnel and Administrative Dept,
New Delhi.
- 3.The Chief Secretary,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600009.
- 4.The Home Secretary,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600009.
- 5.The Director General of Police,
The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Kamaraj Salai, Mylapore,
Chennai - 600004.