

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.02.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

W.P.Nos.32785 & 32819 of 2016

K.Thangarasu .. Petitioner in
W.P.No.32785 of 2016

N.Charlas .. Petitioner in
W.P.No.32819 of 2016

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collectorate,
Nagapattinam.

2.The Assistant Director (Panchayats),
Office of the District Collectorate,
Nagapattinam.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Sirkazhi Union,
Sirkazhi Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

4.K.Porchezian,
President,
Kathirupu Village Panchayat,
Sirkazhi Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

.. Respondents in
both Writ Petitions

PRAYER in both Writ Petitions:- Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 09.06.2016 to take legal action against the fourth respondent for his acts of commission and omission in managing the affairs of the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme.

For Petitioner in
both W.Ps' : Mr.M.R.Kuyilan

For Respondents in
both W.Ps' : Mr.B.Anand

Government Advocate for R1 and R2

Mr.K.Balamurugan
Government Advocate for R3
in W.P.No.32785 of 2016)

Ms.M.Lalitha
Government Advocate for R3
(in W.P.No.32819 of 2016)

No appearance for R4

O R D E R

Heard Mr.M.R.Kuyilan, learned counsel for the petitioners; Mr.B.Anand, learned Government Advocate for the respondents 1 and 2; Mr.K.Balamurugan, learned Government for the third respondent in W.P.No.32785 of 2016 and Ms.M.Lalitha, learned Government Advocate for the third respondent in W.P.No.32819 of 2016 and perused the records.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the representations dated 09.06.2016 given to the respondents 1 to 3 against the fourth respondent were not considered by the Official Respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that it would suffice, if a direction is issued to the third respondent to dispose of the representations of the petitioners within a time frame.

4. The learned Government Advocate would submit that the third respondent is ready to pass orders on the representations of the petitioners.

5. In the light of the above submissions, this Court without going into the merits of the case, directs the third respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on the representations of the petitioners dated 09.06.2016, after providing opportunity to all necessary parties, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, these Writ Petitions are disposed of. There is no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

r n s

To

1.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collectorate,
Nagapattinam.

2.The Assistant Director (Panchayats),
Office of the District Collectorate,
Nagapattinam.


3.The Block Development Officer,
Sirkazhi Union,
Sirkazhi Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

+2cc to Mr.M.R.Kuyilan, Advocate SR.No.12441, 12439

+3cc to Government Pleader SR.No.13255, 13264, 13262

W.P.Nos.32785 & 32819 of 2016

RK(CO)
GN(14/03/2018)



WEB COPY