

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.01.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

WP.No.18192 of 2010

and MP.No.2 of 2010

Dhinakaran

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.
 2. The Commissioner of Rural Development
and Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.
 3. The District Collector,
Villupuram District.
 4. The Project Director,
District Rural Development Office,
Villupuram.
 5. The Block Development Officer(Regular)
Olakkur Panchayat Union at Saram,
Villupuram District.
- ...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified mandamus to call for the records of the 5th respondent i.e., the Block Development Officer (Regular) Olakkur Panchayat Union (at Saram) Villupuram District culminating in Na.Ka.No.A2/1425/2010 dated 02.08.2010 quash the same and further direct the respondents to call for fresh tenders by following the guidelines in G.O.(Ms)No.65 dated 16.07.2009 of the 1st respondent by allotting work to Panchayat Union council ward No.1 and 5 in Olakkur Panchayat Union under

the Rural infrastructure scheme.

For Petitioner : Mrs.R.Vijaya

For R1 to R4 : Mr.N.Manikandan

For R5 : No appearance

ORDER

The petitioner has come forward with this writ petition seeking issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified mandamus to call for the records of the 5th respondent i.e., the Block Development Officer (Regular) Olakkur Panchayat Union (at Saram) Villupuram District culminating in Na.Ka.No.A2/1425/2010 dated 02.08.2010 quash the same and further direct the respondents to call for fresh tenders by following the guidelines in G.O.(Ms)No.65 dated 16.07.2009 of the 1st respondent by allotting work to Panchayat Union council ward No.1 and 5 in Olakkur Panchayat Union under the Rural infrastructure scheme.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the prayer sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous.

3. In light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To


1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Rural Development
and Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.

3. The District Collector,
Villupuram District.
4. The Project Director,
District Rural Development Office,
Villupuram.
5. The Block Development Officer (Regular)
Olakkur Panchayat Union at Saram,
Villupuram District.

+1cc to the Government Pleader SR.No.6985

SDR 19.02.2018

WP.No.18192 of 2010



WEB COPY