

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :02.07.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE V.PARTHIBAN

W.P.No.26802 of 2012 and
M.P.No.1 of 2012

1.R.Muthusamy
2.K.Sikkandhar Basha Petitioners

Vs

1.The Chief Engineer/Personnel,
TANGEDCO, 144, Anna Salai,
Chennai-2.
2.The Superintending Engineer,
General Construction Circle,
TANTRANSCO, Coimbatore-12. Respondents

PRAYER : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners and confer them with permanent status in the second respondent TANTRANSCO from 06.03.2000 and to fix their seniority from that date together with all monetary benefits, etc., in pursuance to the implementation of the order of the Labour Inspector, Coimbatore in Na.Ka.E/8789/99 dated 06.09.2000 as confirmed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.9702 of 2004 dated 19.04.2004 following the common order dated 09.12.2003 passed by this Court in a batch of cases in W.P.No.17692 of 1999, etc.. (The Superintending Engineer-Vs-The Labour Inspector).

For Petitioners : Mr.Palani Selvaraj
For Respondents : Mr.Anand for
M/s.T.S.Gopalan & Co

ORDER

The matter was heard for some time earlier and during the pendency of the present litigation, by proceedings dated 21.04.2018, The first petitioner(Mr.R.Muthusamy) has been granted employment by the respondent Board.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in respect of the other writ petitioner, he is not pressing the case. The learned counsel would also submit that as far as the other similarly placed petitioners are concerned, they were granted appointment earlier and unfortunately, the first petitioner came to be appointed only in April 2018.

3. However, from the pleadings and materials placed on record, this Court is of the view that as far as the present writ petition is concerned, nothing survives for further consideration before this Court. **Therefore, the writ petition is closed as having become infructuous.** However, if the first petitioner is still has any grievance, he can make representation to the Board for parity in treatment and the same shall be considered by the Board on merits and in accordance with law. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index:Yes/No
Internet:Yes
gsk

02.07.2018

To

- 1.The Chief Engineer/Personnel,
TANGEDCO, 144, Anna Salai,
Chennai-2.
- 2.The Superintending Engineer,
General Construction Circle,
TANTRANSCO, Coimbatore-12.



WEB COPY

V.PARTHIBAN,J.

gsk



W.P.No.26802 of 2012

WEB COPY 02.07.2018