

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.06.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

Crl.OP No.15482 of 2018

and

Crl.MP.Nos.7873 & 7874 of 2018

Dr. M. Kailasam

Petitioner

vs.

K.C. Sugumaran

Respondent

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to call for the records pertaining to the complainant in C.C.No.76 of 2018 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No. III, Vellore dated 16.02.2018 and quash the same.

For petitioner Mr. J.R. Prabhakaran

O R D E R

When this Court was about to dismiss this petition on merits, the learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission of this Court to withdraw the same and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.

2. In view of the above submission and endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the points before the trial Court.

3. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the personal appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court may be dispensed with.

4. Accepting his submission, the petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and file a petition under Section 436(1) Cr.PC, for bail. On such application being filed, the trial Court shall release him on bail on the same day, on he executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties. Thereafter, the petitioner shall appear

before the trial Court for receiving the complaint, for questioning under Section 251 Cr.PC, at the time of questioning under Section 313 Cr.P.C. and at the time of passing judgment. For the other hearings, the petitioner shall file a petition before the trial Court under Section 317 Cr.PC., giving an undertaking that he will not dispute his identity and that the counsel named by him in the affidavit will cross-examine the prosecution witnesses on the day he is examined-in-chief, as held by the Supreme Court in Vinod Kumar vs. State of Punjab [2015(1) MLJ (Cr1) 288]. On such a petition being filed, the trial Court may liberally consider the same. If the accused adopts any dilatory tactics, it is open to the trial Court to insist upon his presence and remand him to custody as laid down by the Supreme Court in State of Uttar Pradesh vs. Shambhu Nath Singh [JT 2001 (4) SC 319]. If the accused absconds, the trial Court shall direct registration of an FIR against him under Sec. 229-A IPC. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

cad
To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No. III
Vellore

2. The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Vellore.

Copy to

The Section Officer,
Criminal Section, High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.J.R.PRABHAKARAN, Advocate, S.R.No.37601

Cr1.OP No.15482 of 2018

BS(CO)
TR(26/06/2018)

WEB COPY