

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.06.2018

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

W.P. No.14564 of 2008

and

M.P.No.1 of 2010

S.Kolanthaiswami ...Petitioner

.Vs.

1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport corporation Limited,
Coimbatore Division, Coimbatore.
(Formerly known as Cheran Transport Corporation
Limited)

2.The Assistant Director,
Ex Service Men's Welfare,
Coimbatore - 18

3. Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension)
Allahabad, Uttarpradesh.

...Respondents

Prayer: The writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to re-fix the petitioner's basic pay for the period of his re-employment in M/s.Cheran Transport Corporation Limited that is from 01.03.1973 to 30.06.1986 and further direct the first respondent to pay arrears of salary so arrived on the basis of the basic pay re-fixed.

For Petitioner :Mr.S.B.Vishwanathan (NA)
For Respondents 2 :Mr.T.Chandra Sekaran
For Respondents 3 :Mr.T.Madhanagopal Rao (SCGSC)

ORDER

When the matter was called on 14.06.2018, there was no representation for the petitioner and hence the matter was adjourned to 19.06.2018. When the matter was called on 19.06.2018, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has given change of vakalat. Therefore, in order to give one more opportunity to the petitioner the matter was adjourned

to 22.06.2018. When the matter came up on 22.06.2018, as there was no representation for the petitioner this Court directed the Registry to print the name of the petitioner in the cause list and adjourned the matter to 28.06.2018.

2. When the matter was called on 28.06.2018 morning, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and therefore, the matter was passed over to 2:15 p.m. Even at 2:15 p.m, there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, the Registry was directed to post the Writ Petition on 29.06.2018 under the caption 'for dismissal'.

3. Even today, (29.06.2018) when the matter is called there is no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. However, there will be no orders as to costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To.

+1cc to Mr.T.Chandra Sekaran, Advocate, S.R.No.41950
+1cc to Mr.J.Madhanagopal, Advocate, S.R.No.42086

W.P.No.14564 of 2008
and M.P.No.1 of 2010

SPD (CO)
GSP (25/07/2018)

WEB COPY