

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.07.2018

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.VENUGOPAL
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

W.P.No.17450 of 2018
and
W.M.P.No.20734 of 2018

Mr.D.Selvaraju ... Petitioner
vs.

- 1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Adi Dravidar and Tribal Welfare Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The Director of Tribal Welfare Department,
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005
- 3.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Social Justice and Human Rights Unit,
City Police Commissioner Office Back Side,
Linemedu, Salem-6 Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the third respondent bearing C.No.37/ACP/SJHR/SLM(c)/2018, dated 19.06.2018, on the file of the 3rd Respondent and quash the same and to consequently forbear the respondents from conducting the enquiry on the anonymous/pseudonymous complaints of the third party.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Naganathan
For Respondents : Mr.K.Rajendraprasad,A.G.P.

ORDER
(Order of the Court was made by M.VENUGOPAL,J.)

The Petitioner has preferred the present Writ Petition, seeking to call for the Records of the Third Respondent in Reference No.C.No.37/ACP/SJHR/SLM(c)/2018, dated 19.06.2018 and to quash the same. Further he has prayed for issuance of a consequential direction in restraining the Respondents from conducting the enquiry based on the

anonymous/pseudonymous complaints of the Third party.

2.Heard both sides.

3.According to the Petitioner, he is a native of Boothapadi Village, Dinnappatti Mettur Taluk, Salem District and he belongs to 'Konda Reddi' (Scheduled Tribe), Community. He had completed his School Education in his native place. His parents are illiterate and as such, there is no necessity for them to obtain a 'Community Certificate'.

4.The stand of the Petitioner is that, after conducting thorough verification and enquiry by the Revenue Department, the Tahsildar, Mettur Dam, Salem District, had issued a 'Community Certificate', on 11.09.1976, stating that he belongs to 'Konda Reddis' Community. The Tahsildar was then competent to issue Community Certificate earlier to 11.11.1989. As such, the Tahsildar had issued the Community Certificate to him. His close relatives were also issued with 'Konda Reddis' Community Certificates.

5.It comes to be known that the Petitioner was appointed as Clerk in the Indian Overseas Bank, on 16.07.1982, under the 'Scheduled Tribes quota' and his service was confirmed with effect from 16.01.1983 and presently he is working as Clerk in Park Square Branch, Coimbatore District. The Petitioner was not given any promotion for all these periods. In the year 1998, one of the Employees gave a complaint to the Petitioner's Employer, on account of personal vendetta. Based on that the Petitioner's Employer had directed him to produce the original 'Community Certificate' for verification. Accordingly, he had submitted the original Community Certificate to his Employer.

6.It is to be noted that the Petitioner's Employer had forwarded the complaint of the Third Party to the District Collector, Salem District, for verification. Since the District Collector had no jurisdiction in the matter of issuance/verification of Community Certificate, the Petitioner was perforced to file W.P.No.11253 of 1999, before this Court and obtained an interim Order. Subsequently, the said Writ Petition was disposed of by this Court, by order dated 01.07.1999, with a direction being issued to the District Level Committee, to conduct the enquiry afresh.

7.The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner proceeds to take a plea that inspite of numerous guidelines issued by the Government as well as this Court, the First Respondent/Principal Secretary to Government, Adi Dravidar and Tribal Welfare Department, Fort St.George, Chennai-09, without following the said Guidelines, had issued a Communication, dated 02.03.2017,

to the Third Respondent/The Assistant Commissioner of Police, Social Justice and Human Rights Unit, Salem-6, to verify the genuineness of the Community Certificate produced by the Petitioner. A copy of the said Communication was not communicated to the Petitioner till date. As a matter of fact, the Third Respondent/The Assistant Commissioner of Police, Social Justice and Human Rights Unit, Salem-6, had issued a Communication dated 19.06.2018, to the Petitioner, directing him to appear for an enquiry in his Office, for which, the Petitioner had prayed for an extension of time.

8.The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, emphatically contends that when a 'Community Certificate' is issued by the Competent Authority to an individual, then the onus does not lie on the said person to prove the same. Furthermore, it is represented on behalf of the Petitioner that the Petitioner joined the service during the year 1982 and that the Third Respondent, at this distant point of time, cannot compel the Petitioner to furnish Certificates of his Parents and property documents before the year 1950. Yet another plea taken on behalf of the Petitioner is that the First Respondent/Principal Secretary to Government, Adi Dravidar and Tribal Welfare Department, Fort St.George, Chennai-09, had failed to appreciate that the State Level Scrutiny Committee alone is competent authority to verify the Petitioner's Community Certificate and in the present case, the First Respondent had issued a Letter which is without jurisdiction.

9.Lastly, it is projected on the side of the Petitioner that the Respondents had failed to consider that the Central Vigilance Committee Guidelines, issued by the Central Government from time to time in respect of Caste Certificates relating to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, are binding upon them.

10.It is to be pointed out that this Court, on 12.07.2018, had directed the Petitioner to Co-operate for the enquiry, pursuant to the notice issued on 19.06.2018, by appearing and producing the relevant documents. Further, this Court had directed that the Third Respondent shall not pass any final orders.

11.In this connection this Court significantly points out that the Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition on 06.07.2018. At this juncture, this Court, on perusal of the Impugned Order of the Third Respondent, is of the considered view that the Petitioner was directed to appear for an enquiry on 25.06.2018, at 10.00 a.m. along with necessary documents mentioned therein. He was further informed that if he fails to appear, then, based on the documents available on record,

further action would be taken. The said Proceedings of the Third Respondent dated 19.06.2018, was issued to the Employer of the Petitioner and added further, the Employer was directed to communicate the appearance of the Petitioner to attend for an enquiry on 25.06.2018 at 10.00 hours at Pannawadi Village Administrative Office. However, the Petitioner had not turned up for enquiry on 25.06.2018, at 10.00 hours, as directed in the Proceedings of the Third Respondent dated 19.06.2018.

12.The Learned Additional Government Pleader for the Respondents brings it to the notice of this Court that the next date of enquiry is fixed on 04.08.2018 at 11.30 a.m. and if the Petitioner appears on that date, with necessary documents, then the Third Respondent will submit his Report within a period of four weeks, after conclusion of the enquiry.

13.In view of the fact that the Petitioner's next date of appearance is fixed on 04.08.2018, at 11.30 a.m., by the Third Respondent, this Court is of the considered view that the Petitioner is duty bound to appear before the Third Respondent on 04.08.2018 at 11.30 a.m. in connection with the enquiry, without fail. Accordingly, this Court directs the Petitioner to appear before the Third Respondent on 04.08.2018, at about 11.30 a.m, without fail. It is open to the Petitioner to produce all the relevant records, which he rely upon, to support his version, before the Third Respondent, who shall take into account of the same and to proceed further in the manner known to Law and in accordance with Law. It cannot be gainsaid that the Third Respondent shall submit his Report to the First Respondent/The Principal Secretary to Government, Adi Dravidar and Tribal Welfare Department, Fort St.George, Chennai-09, within a period of four weeks, after completion of enquiry. The First Respondent, after obtaining the 'Report' of the Third Respondent, in the subject matter in issue, shall pass necessary reasoned Speaking Order, in a fair, just, unbiased and in a dispassionate manner, ofcourse, after providing adequate opportunity to the Petitioner to put forward his version, within a period of two weeks thereafter.

With the above observations/Directions, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

msk

To

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Adi Dravidar and Tribal Welfare Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.


2.The Director of Tribal Welfare Department,
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005

3.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Social Justice and Human Rights Unit,
City Police Commissioner Office Back Side,
Linemedu, Salem-6

+1cc to Mr.N.Naganathan, Advocate SR.No.49924
+1cc to Government Pleader SR.No.50367

W.P.No.17450 of 2018

GN(27/07/2018)



WEB COPY