

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 02.02.2018
CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN
S.A. No.988 of 2003

Banumathi

..Appellant/Plaintiff
Vs.

Fathima Bibi

..Respondent/2nd Defendant

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree dated 20.11.2002 passed by the Additional District Judge, Fast Track Judge No.4, Coimbatore at Tirupur in A.S.No.76 of 2002 confirming the judgment and decree dated 22.12.2000 passed by the District Munsiff, Tirupur in O.S.No.435 of 1991 thereby decreeing the suit partially in respect of declaration and dismissing the suit in respect of prayer for permanent injunction restraining the respondent herein from interfering with the possession and enjoyment of the suit passage of the appellant herein.

For Appellant : No Appearance

For Respondent : No Appearance

J U D G M E N T

This matter was listed on 01.02.2018 and on that day, there was no representation on behalf of the appellant, despite the matter being called twice and the appellant being called and remaining absent, the Registry was directed to list the matter today under the caption "for dismissal".

2. Today also when the matter is called twice, there is no representation for the appellant. Appellant called absent. The second appeal is therefore dismissed for non prosecution. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

bri

To


1.The Additional District Judge,
Fast Track Judge No.4,
Coimbatore at Tirupur.

2.The District Munsiff,
Tirupur.

3. The Record Keeper
VR Section High Court,
Madras(+2 copies)

S.A. No.988 of 2003

nri(co)
aa22/02/2018



WEB COPY