

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.09.2018

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE Ms.JUSTICE P.T.ASHA

Second Appeal No.766 of 2003

1. Appadurai
2. Rajamani
3. Kadikachalam
4. Dharani
5. Subramani
6. Marimuthu
7. Venkatesan
8. Chandran
9. Ravi
10. Velu
11. Dhakshinamoorthy
12. Andalammal
13. Saroja
14. Kanmani ... Appellants/Respondents/Defendants

Vs.

1. Minor Narasimhan
S/o. Rangappillai,
rep by his mother & natural guardian Neela,
2. Neela
3. Rangappillai ... Respondents/Appellants/Plaintiff

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Section 100 of Civil Procedure Code, praying against the judgment and decree dated 28.01.2003 made in A.S.No.30 of 2001 on the file of Learned Subordinate Judge, Ranipet, reserving the judgment and decree dated 19.03.2001 made in O.S.No.378 of 1992 on the file of the Learned District Munsif, Sholinghur.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Jermiah
For R1 to R3 : Mr.A.Rajesh Kannan

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the petitioners would represent that despite his attempt to obtain details from his clients to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased appellants 1 & 12, there has been no response from his clients

and therefore, he unable to take steps in this regard. Further, the appeal has long since abated against these two appellants. Therefore, the second appeal stands abated. Since it is a suit for bare injunction, an abatement as against the two of the appellants would automatically result in the second appeal coming to an end as the appeal arises from a judgment and decree against all the appellants.

2. Therefore, the Second appeal is dismissed as abated.
No costs.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar (CS-IX)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar


bsm

To

1. The Learned Subordinate Judge, Ranipet.
2. The Learned District Munsif, Sholinghur.

S.A.No.766 of 2003

RK (CO)
GSP (09/10/2018)



WEB COPY