

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Seventh day of June Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.13678 of 2018

1 S.RAMANI
2 P.BANUPRIYA
3 C.VELAYUTHAM
4 V.PARVATHY

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
G-9 PERUNAGAR POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT
CR.NO.77 OF 2018

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.R.GANESH KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR. R.SURYA PRAKASH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the first accused is the brother of the deceased, the first petitioner is the sister of the deceased, the second petitioner is the sister-in-law of the deceased, the third and fourth petitioners are the parents of the deceased. A1 is the Eldest son to them and the deceased is another son.

2. The learned Government Advocate would submit that the case of prosecution is that due to family disputes with regard to the land disputes, one of the son of third and fourth petitioner, by using the grinding stone hit on the chest of the deceased and he sustained injury. Thereafter, the first accused was surrendered before the Police and gave statement. Initially, the case was registered under the Sections 294(b), 324, 506(ii) of IPC and subsequently modified as Section 307 of IPC, on the death of injured, it has been altered into Section 302 of IPC.

3. According to the learned Government Advocate, the investigation is at preliminary stage and the defacto complainant is none other than the daughter-in-law of the third and fourth petitioner. Inadvertently, she also state during the act of crime, these petitioners are available in the scene of occurrence and hence seeks for Anticipatory Bail.

4. Considering the nature and grievances of the offence which is at preliminary stage of investigation, I am not inclined to grant the Anticipatory Bail for the present. In view of this matter, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed for the present.

-sd/-

07/06/2018

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
G-9 PERUNAGAR POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.R.GANESH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR NO.10131

CRL OP.13678/2018

Date :07/06/2018

MK:12/06/2018