

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.03.2018

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

S.A.No.1453 of 2001

1.Maariammal  
2.Subramanian

..Appellants/Defendants

Vs.

Sethu

..Respondent/Plaintiff

Prayer:- Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree passed by the Learned Principal Subordinate Judge of Nagapattinam made in A.S.No.25 of 2000 dated 25.08.2000 confirming the judgment and decree of the learned District Munsif Court, Nagapattinam in O.S.No.49 of 1999 dated 14.03.2000.

For Appellants : No Appearance

For Respondent : Ms.G.Devi

J U D G M E N T

When the second appeal was taken up for hearing on 22.03.2018, there was no representation for the appellant and hence, the matter was ordered to be posted under the caption 'for dismissal '.

2. Even though, the matter is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal', neither the appellants nor their counsel is present to prosecute the matter. Hence the second appeal is dismissed for non prosecution. Consequently, connected CMPs are closed. No costs.

-Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.Principal Subordinate Judge  
Nagapattinam

2.District Munsif Court  
Nagapattinam

Copy to: The Section Officer  
V.R.Section  
High Court of Madras  
Chennai 600 104

+ 1 cc to Ms.G.Devi, Advocate Sr.No.22735

S.A.No.1453 of 2001

MG(CO)

RRI 11/04/2018

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY