

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 24.07.2018
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN
W.P.No.22869 of 2010
and
MP.No.1 of 2010

Erode District Cricket Association,
Rep. by its Secretary,
T.Md.Khaleel,
130, Thirunagar Colony, Erode - 3.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome High Road, Chennai - 4.
2. The District Registrar, (Societies)
District Registrar Office,
Krishnampalayam, Erode - 2
3. The Periyar District Cricket Association (Defunct),
Rep.by its Secretary S.Surendran,
238, EVN Road, Tennis Club, Erode - 9.
4. District Cricket Association of Erode
rep.by its Secretary S.Surendran
238, EVN Road, Tennis Club,
Erode - 9.

... Respondents

(R4 impleaded as per the order of this
Court dated 24.07.2018 in MP.No.1/2011)

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct respondents 1 and 2 to strike off the name of the 4th respondent from the register maintained by the respondent No.2 as in violation of Sec.9(1)(c) of the Tamil Nadu Societies Registration Act in as much as it is identical with that of the petitioner's association.
(Prayer amended as per the order of this Court dated 24.07.2018 in MP.No.2/2011)

For Petitioner	: Mr.V.Raghavachari
For R1 & R2	: Mr.P.P.Purushotham

O R D E R

Seeking a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to strike off the name of the 4th respondent from the register maintained by the second respondent, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner already submitted a representation dated 20.09.2010, claiming the relief as sought for in this writ petition, which is yet to be disposed of by the respondents 1 and 2. The learned counsel further submitted that it would suffice, if a direction is given to the respondents 1 and 2 to dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel for the respondents 1 and 2 fairly submitted that the representation submitted by the petitioner, if not already been disposed of, would be considered and appropriate orders be passed on merits and in accordance with law, within a time to be stipulated by this Court.

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court directs the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 20.09.2010 and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after issuing due notice to all the parties concerned, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5.Accordingly, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rk/av

To

1. The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome High Road, Chennai - 4.

2. The District Registrar, (Societies)
District Registrar Office,
Krishnampalayam, Erode - 2

+1cc to Mr.V.Raghavachari, Advocate, S.R.No.49633

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.50350

W.P.No.22869 of 2010

NRJ(CO)
rrs 23/11/2018