

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:22.06.2018

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN

S.A.No. 2204 of 2004

P.M.Sekar

... Appellant

Vs.

Kumudha

...Respondent

Prayer:

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the decree and judgment of the District Judges Court, Nagapattinam poassed in A.S.No.53 of 2003 dated 14.10.2003 reversing the decree and judgment of District Munsif Court, Nagapattinam passed in O.S.No.503 of 2000 dated 25.03.2003.

For Appellant : No appearance

For Respondent : No appearance

J U D G M E N T

In this matter, already, counsel for the appellant filed a memo reporting no instructions and accordingly, appellant called and remaining absent, Registry was directed to list the matter today, i.e, on 22.06.2018, under the caption " for dismissal".

2.Today, when the matter is called , there is no representation for the appellant. Appellant called absent.

3.Resultantly, the second appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition, if any, is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mfa

To

1. The District Judge,
Nagapattinam.

2.The District Munsif,
Nagapattinam.

3.The Section Officer,
VR Section, High Court,
Chennai. (2 COPIES)

S.A.No.2204 of 2004

KJI (CO)
TR(02/07/2018)



WEB COPY