

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:13.04.2018

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN

S.A.No.2269 of 2003

T.Vasudevan Appellant

Vs.

Mohan
rep. by Power of Attorney Agent
Jayanthi Respondent

Prayer:

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the decree and judgment dated 22.08.2003 passed in A.S.No.5 of 2001 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Cuddalore confirming the judgment and decree dated 30-10-2000 in O.S.No.412 of 1992 on the file of the District Munsif, Cuddalore.

For Appellant : No appearance

For Respondent : Mr.R.Gururaj

J U D G M E N T

Counsel for the respondent present.

2.On a perusal of the notes paper, it is found that the learned counsel for the appellant has been regularly taking adjournments for making submissions and accordingly, though, this Court has granted sufficient indulgence, the appellant has not been serious in prosecuting the appeal and in such view of the matter, when a request for adjournment is again sought for today by the learned appellant's counsel, this Court has declined the same and directed the appellant's counsel to make submissions and paused over the matter.

3.Again when the second appeal is taken up for hearing, there is no representation for the appellant. Appellant called

absent. Inasmuch as, the appellant is not serious in prosecuting the matter, the second appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition, if any, is closed.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CS VIII)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar


mfa

To

- 1.The Subordinate Judge,
Subordinate Court,
Cuddalore.
- 2.The District Munsif,
District Munsif Court,
Cuddalore.
- 3.The Section Officer,
VR Section,
High Court,
Chennai.

S.A.No.2269 of 2003

SSV(CO)
RRK(16/05/2018)



WEB COPY