

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.06.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE P.T.ASHA

C.R.P(NPD).Nos.3264 to 3267 of 2007

|                |                               |
|----------------|-------------------------------|
| N.Sekar        | ...Petitioner in              |
|                | C.R.P Nos.3264 & 3265 of 2007 |
| Thimmarayan    | ...Petitioner in              |
|                | C.R.P Nos.3266 & 3267 of 2007 |
| Vs             |                               |
| 1. L.V.Lalitha | ... Sole Respondent in        |
|                | C.R.P. Nos.3264 & 3266 of     |
|                | 2007 & Respondent No.2 in     |
|                | C.R.P. Nos.3265 & 3267        |
|                | of 2007                       |
| 2.Kamalam      | ... Respondent No.1 in        |
|                | C.R.P. Nos.3265 & 3267        |
|                | of 2007                       |

**COMMON PRAYER:** Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 25 of the Tamil Nadu buildings (Lease and Rental control Act), to set aside the judgment and decree in R.C. A. Nos.1, 2, 3, 4 of 2006 on the file of the Sub-Court (Rent Control Appellate Authority) Hosur, dated 13.07.2007, in confirming the judgment and decree in R.C.O.P. Nos.4, 7, 5, 8 of 2002 on the District Munsif Court (Rent Control Authority) Hosur, dated 28.04.2005.

For Petitioners : No Appearance in all CRPs  
For Respondents : Mr.T.M. Hariharan in all CRPs

**COMMON ORDER**

The matter is listed under the caption "for dismissal". When the matter was posted on 07.06.2018, there was no representation on the side of the revision petitioner, though, the learned counsel for the respondent was present. The matter was directed to be posted "for dismissal". There was no representation on either side, hence the matter was listed yesterday under the caption "for dismissal" and the matter was called twice in Court and on both occasions there was no representation on the side of the revision petitioner. Today, once again, the matter is listed under the caption "for dismissal", the matter was called twice in the forenoon and once again at 2.40 p.m. On all these occasions there has not been any representation on the side of the revision petitioner. Therefore, the civil revision petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution. However, there shall be no orders as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

**21.06.2018**

Index: Yes/No  
Internet: Yes/No  
dh

To

1. The Sub-Court (Rent Control Appellate Authority)  
Hosur.
2. The District Munsif Court (Rent Control Authority)  
Hosur.



WEB COPY

P.T.ASHA.J,

dh



C.R.P(NPD),Nos.3264 to 3267 of 2007

21.06.2018

WEB COPY