

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.07.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.Nos.1197 and 1198 of 2010
and
M.P.Nos.1 and 1 of 2010

Blow Packaging (India) Ltd.,
Rep.by its Director, No.55-D,
SIDCO Industrial Estate,
Ambattur, Chennai - 600 098. ... Petitioner in WP.No.1197
of 2010

Sakthi Containers Pvt. Ltd.,
Rep. By its Director,
No.269, SIDCO Industrial Estate,
Ambattur, Chennai - 600 098. ... Petitioner in WP.No.1198
of 2010

Vs.

1. The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board
Rep. By its Chairman,
Anna Salai, Chennai - 2.
2. The Superintending Engineer,
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle (CEDC) West
Anna Nagar, Chennai - 40.
3. The Assistant Executive Engineer
(Operation and Maintenance)
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle
Industrial Estate, Ambattur,
Chennai 600 058.
4. The Deputy Financial Controller (Incharge),
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle West,
Anna Nagar, Chennai - 40.

... Respondents in both WPs.

W.P.No.1197 of 2010:- Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings dated 31.12.2009 in Lr.No.SE/CEDC/W/Dev/AEE/AE II/HD/F.HT.M/s. Blow Packaging /D.1426/09 of the second respondent in respect of service No.1647 of the petitioner, in

so far as it insists for payment of penal charges relating to peak hour demand quota for the period from 12/2008 to 07/2009 and for a direction, directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to avail the sanctioned additional demand of 100 KVA without insisting for payment of any penal charges.

W.P.No.1198 of 2010:- Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings dated 31.12.2009 in

Lr.No.SE/CEDC/W/Dev/AEE/AEII/HD/F.HT.M/s. Shakti

Containers/D.1424/09 of the second respondent in respect of service No.1722 of the petitioner, in so far as it insists for payment of penal charges relating to peak hour demand quota for the period from 12/2008 to 07/2009 and for a direction, directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to avail the sanctioned additional demand of 150 KVA without insisting for payment of any penal charges.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Saravanakumar

For R1 to R4 : Mr.P.R.Dilipkumar

COMMON ORDER

When the matters were taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that despite the intimation having been sent to the petitioners through letters dated 07.07.2018, no reply was received from them. He has also produced the copies of the said letters along with the acknowledgement cards, to that effect.

2.Recording the submission and the communications so produced by the learned counsel for the petitioners, both the writ petitions stand closed for non-prosecution. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are also closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS viii)

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते

Sub Assistant Registrar

rk

To

1. The Chairman, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board
Anna Salai, Chennai - 2.
2. The Superintending Engineer,
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle (CEDC) West
Anna Nagar, Chennai - 40.

WEB COPY


3. The Assistant Executive Engineer
(Operation and Maintenance)
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle
Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Chennai 600 058.

4. The Deputy Financial Controller (Incharge),
Chennai Electricity Distribution Circle West,
Anna Nagar, Chennai - 40.

+1cc to Mr.R.Saravanakumar , Advocate SR.No.48333

W.P.Nos.1197 & 1198 of 2010

ASK(04/10/2018)



WEB COPY