

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 01..11..2018

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition No.15121 of 2018

&

W.M.P.No.17881 of 2018

P.Soundari

... Petitioner

-Versus-

1.The Director of Town and Country Planning,
Opp. LIC, Anna Salai, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Tirupur District, Tirupur.

3.The Assistant Director of Mines,
Collectorate, Tirupur District.

4.The Special Officer,
Sukkampalayam Village Panchayat,
Tirupur District.

5.Duraisamy

.... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus forbearing the respondents 1 , 2 and 4 from regularizing the 5th respondent's unapproved layout situated in S.No.46/1 (part) and S.No.47/3 in Sukkampalayam Village, Palladam Taluk, Tirupur District.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Prakasam

For Respondents : Mrs.Ramya Revathy,
Government Advocate for
R1 to R3

Mr.A.Rajaperumal for R4

M.R. Sasikumar for R5

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking to pass an order against the respondents 1, 2 and 4 in the form of writ of mandamus forbearing them from regularizing the 5th respondent's

unapproved layout formed in the land comprised in S.No.46/1 (part) and S.No.47/3 in Sukkampalayam Village, Palladam Taluk, Tirupur District.

2. Earlier notice was ordered to the respondents. Mr.V.Shanmuga Sundar, the learned Special Government Pleader, who was present in court had taken notice for the respondents 1 to 3 and had sought for time to get instruction from the respondents 1 to 3.

3. Today, when the writ petition was taken up for hearing, Mrs.Ramya Revathy, the learned Government Advocate, on instructions from the respondents 1 to 3, submitted that even prior to the filing of the writ petition, the application submitted by the 5th respondent for regularization of his unapproved layout has been granted by the 4th respondent by order dated 31.05.2018. She has also produced a xerox copy of the order of the 4th respondent.

4. In the light of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate, nothing survives in this writ petition for further adjudication. However, it is needless to point out that if at all the petitioner is still aggrieved, he can very well work out his remedy in the manner known to law.

5. In the result, the writ petition is dismissed with the above observation. No costs. Consequently, connected WMP is closed.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

kmk

To

1.The Director of Town and Country Planning,
Opp. LIC, Anna Salai, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Tirupur District, Tirupur.


3.The Assistant Director of Mines,
Collectorate, Tirupur District.

4.The Special Officer,
Sukkampalayam Village Panchayat,
Tirupur District.

+1 CC to Mr.R. Sasikumar, Advocate sr 75827.
+1 CC to The Govt. Pleader sr 76516.

W.P.No.15121 of 2018

CNR (CO)
SP (21/01/2019)



WEB COPY