

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.01.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

W.P.No.789 of 2013

R.Balaji

... Petitioner

Versus

The Member Secretary,
Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority,
No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008. ... Respondent

Prayer: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondent to reconsider the representation dated 10.11.2011 in the background of the relevant facts stated as per representation dated 12.10.2012.

Petitioner : Mr.P.Vishnu

Respondent : Mr.N.Sampath
Standing Counsel

O R D E R

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking for a direction to the respondent to take action on his representation dated 10.11.2011 and 12.10.2012, respectively.

2. Mr.N.Sampath, learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that if a fresh representation is given, it would be considered in accordance with law.

3. In the light of the above prayer sought for in this writ petition and the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondent, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, direct the petitioner to submit a fresh representation along with a copy of this order, to the respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On such compliance, the respondent is directed to pass appropriate order in accordance

with law within a period of 12 weeks thereafter.

4. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No Costs.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar

/True copy/


Sub Assistant Registrar

To

The Member Secretary,
Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority,
No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

W.P.No.789 of 2013

KP 26.02.2018



WEB COPY