

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 14.09.2018
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.23948 of 2018

Sri Math Sivagnana Balaya Swamigal
Thirumadam Thirukailaya Parambarai
Mailam Pommapura Aathenam Mailam,
Tindivanam Taluk, Villupuram District. .. Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by Principal Revenue Secretary,
St. George Fort, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.

3.The Assistant Settlement Officer (North),
The Commissioner of Survey and
Settlement Office, Survey Illam,
3rd Floor, Chepauk, Chennai-5.

4.The District Revenue Officer,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.

5.The Tahsildar,
Chengalpattu Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

6.The Tahsildar,
Thirukazhukundram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District. .. Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Mandamus, directing the third respondent to dispose of several representations such as Letter dated 20.10.2005 and 27.06.2006 submitted by the petitioner in regard to the restoration of patta in respect of the land in Survey Nos.32/1, 32/3 and 34 at Thirukazhukundram Village, Kancheepuram District in the name of the petitioner Mutt, within a time frame.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.S.Baskaran

For Respondents : Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

By consent of both parties, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2.The petitioner has come up with this writ petition seeking a direction to the third respondent to dispose of their representations dated 20.10.2005 and 27.06.2006 in regard to the restoration of patta in Survey Nos.32/1, 32/3 and 34 situated at Thirukazhukundram Village, Kancheepuram District within a time frame.

3.According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has submitted representations dated 20.10.2005 and 27.06.2006 claiming the relief as prayed in this writ petition, but, the same are yet to be disposed of by the fourth respondent. Hence, the petitioner is before this Court.

4.Mr.V.jayaprakash Narayanan, learned Special Government Pleader taking notice for the respondents, submitted that the fourth respondent be directed to consider the petitioner's representation and pass orders in accordance with law.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court directs the fourth respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 20.10.2005 and reminder dated 27.06.2006 and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as any of the interested parties, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

R.MAHADEVAN, J.

vga

6.Accordingly, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

14.09.2018

Index: Yes/No

vga

To

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by Principal Revenue Secretary,
St. George Fort, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.

3.The Assistant Settlement Officer (North),
The Commissioner of Survey and
Settlement Office, Survey Illam,
3rd Floor, Chepauk, Chennai-5.

4.The District Revenue Officer,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.

5.The Tahsildar,
Chengalpattu Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

6.The Tahsildar,
Thirukazhukundram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

W.P.No. 23948 of 2018