

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.06.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.No.8987 of 2010

T.Veerabadra Rao

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.Indian Overseas Bank  
Rep. by its Chairman & Managing Director,  
Central Office,  
762 Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.

2.The Competent Authority,  
I O B (Employees') Pension  
Regulation 1995 and General Manager,  
Indian Overseas Bank,  
Central Office, 762 Anna Salai,  
Chennai - 600 002.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the first and second respondents to revise the basic pension of the petitioner with consequential benefits from the date of his retirement, on the basis of his average emoluments as laid down in Regulation 2(d) read with Regulations 35(2) and 35(6) and Regulation 38(4) of Indian Overseas Bank (Employees') Pension Regulations, 1995, and pay the resultant arrears together with interest at 18% per annum thereon.

For Petitioner: Mr.C.R.Chandrasekaran

For R1 : Mr.NGR.Prasad  
for Mr.K.Srinivasa Murthy

O R D E R

When the matter was taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submitted that the relief sought for herein has become infructuous and hence, nothing survives for adjudication in this writ petition.

2.In view of the submission so made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//


Sub Assistant Registrar

drl

+lcc to Mr.N.G.R.Prasad, Advocate Sr.39223

W.P.No.8987 of 2010

ssd[col]  
srg 18/07/2018



WEB COPY