



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :29.05.2018

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN

Cr1.A.No.82 of 2007

Kandasamy @ Dunlop Kandan
@Kombanthoppu Kandan

..Appellant

vs

State Rep.by
Inspector of Police
Karungalpalayam P.S.,
Crime No.998/2000

.. Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Appeal filed under Section 374(2) of Cr.P.C to allow the appeal by setting aside the judgment of conviction, sentence and a fine of Rs.1,000/- passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge/F.T.C.No.I, Erode in S.C.No.148/2001 against the appellant on his file dated 06.03.2002.

For Petitioner : No Appearance

For Respondent : Mr.T.Shanmuga Rajeswaran
Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

JUDGMENT

A-3 in S.C.No.148 of 2001 on the file of the learned Fast Track Court No.1, Erode is the appellant.

2. Totally, there are 7 accused in this case. Out of them, A-6 and A-7 were acquitted. The remaining accused were convicted. Out of them, A-3 is the appellant before me.

3. Today, the appellant viz., A-3 was convicted and sentenced as under:

Conviction	Sentence
148 I.P.C.	3 years R.I
307 I.P.C.	10 years R.I. Fine Rs.1000/-

The sentences were directed to run concurrently.



4. I have heard Mr.T.Shanmuga Rajeswaran, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side).

5. From his arguments, now it is learnt that the appellant has served the sentence and he was released from jail. In the facts and circumstances, nothing survives in this appeal. Thus, there is no point in keeping this appeal alive. The criminal appeal has become infructuous.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal appeal is dismissed as infructuous.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kak/nvi

To

1.The Inspector of Police
Karungalpalayam Police Station.

2.The Additional Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Court I, Erode.

Crl.A.No.82 of 2007\

ks[co]
srg 06/06/2018