

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.06.2018

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.KIRUBAKARAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.PONGIAPPAN

W.P.No.12021 of 2018

K.Arumugam

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Bar Council of India,
No.21. Rouse Avenue, Institutional Area,
New Delhi 110 002.

2.The Bar Council of Tamil Nadu & Puducherry
High Court Buildings,
Chennai 600 104.

3.S.V.Jayaraman
Advocate,
No.25, Chithra Kulam North Street,
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.


4.The Secretary,
Home Dept. Govt. of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600 009.

5.The Director General of Police,
Kamarajar Salai,
Chennai 600 004.

6.Aruldoss
Deputy Superintendent of Police
Tirukoilur 605 757
Villupuram Dt.

7.Jagadeesan
Inspector of Police (L & O),
Tirukoilur 605 757
Villupuram Dt.

8.Annamalai
Sub-Inspector Police (L & O),
Arakandanallur 605 752
Villupuram District.



WEB COPY

9.Sheikh Gani
Sub-Inspector Police (L & O),
Arakandanallur 605 752
Villupuram District.

10.Govindaraj
Sub-Inspector Police (L & O),
Tirukoilur 605 757
Villupuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of mandamus to direct the first respondent to expedite the case in R.P.No.20/2006 pending before the Bar Council of India and pass appropriate order within a time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner :Mr.K.Arumugam (Party in person)
For Respondents:Mr.S.R.Raghunathan (for R1)
Ms.Lesi (for R2)

ORDER

(Order of the Court was delivered by N.KIRUBAKARAN, J)

The Writ Petition has been filed by a litigant against an advocate. A complaint has been filed against an advocate before the Bar Council of Tamil Nadu, which was rejected by Bar Council. Thereafter, the petitioner preferred a revision petition before the Bar Council of India in R.P.No.20/2006. Even after 12 years, the matter has not been disposed of. Therefore, the petitioner has come before this Court.

2.Heard the party in person and Mr.S.R.Raghunathan, learned counsel for the 1st respondent.

3.Mr.S.R.Raghunathan, learned counsel for the 1st respondent gives an undertaking that R.P.No.20/2006 would be disposed of within a period of three months, after hearing the petitioner. He would further submit that the disposal of the R.P.No.20/2006 has been delayed as the petitioner has been taking adjournments and changing the counsel often and therefore, he prays this Court to direct the petitioner to cooperate with the Bar Council of India for the disposal of the matter.

4.Taking note of the above representation of the learned counsel for the 1st respondent, the petitioner is directed to cooperate and argue the matter before the Bar Council of India as party in person or through an advocate, without taking any further adjournments.

5.With the above undertaking of the learned counsel for the 1st respondent and with the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar(CS-IX)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

sai
To

1.The Bar Council of India,
No.21. Rouse Avenue, Institutional Area,
New Delhi 110 002.

2.The Bar Council of Tamil Nadu & Puducherry
High Court Buildings,
Chennai 600 104.

3.The Secretary,
Home Dept. Govt. of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600 009.

4.The Director General of Police,
Kamarajar Salai,
Chennai 600 004.

+1 CC to Ms.Lesi , Advocate sr 36857.

+1 CC to Mr.K. Arumugam, Advocate sr 37271.

सत्यमेव जयते

W.P.No.12021 of 2018

CNR(CO)
SP(26/06/2018)

WEB COPY