

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.04.2018

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.A.No.978 of 2018
C.M.P.Nos.8370 and 8371 of 2018

M/s.TDI International India (P) Ltd.,
represented by Berryson Kagoo,
General Manager (Sales),
Sir Usman Court, F-6, First Floor,
Old No.27, New No.63, Eldams Road,
Alwarpet, Chennai-600 018 and
Regd.Office at No.42, Rani Jhansi Road,
New Delhi-100 055. Appellant

-vs-

1.The Airports Director,
Airports Authority of India,
Chennai International Airport, Chennai-600 027.
2.Airports Authority of India,
Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, Safdarjung Airport,
New Delhi-110 003. Respondents

Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, against
the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.12472 of 2017 dated
27.03.2018.

W.P.No.12472 of 2017:-

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of
India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call
for the records of the respondents pertaining to RFP Bid
Invitation No.1000021222 published on 23.2.2017 to award the
license for "Exclusive Advertisement Rights" concession to
design develop operate and market the advertising opportunity
in the entire Airport Estate at Chennai Airport and quash the
same as illegal and unconstitutional

For Appellant :: Mr.P.S.Raman, Sr.Counsel
for Ms.R.Maheswari
For Respondents:: Dr.A.Xavier Arulraj, Sr.Counsel
for Ms.A.Arul Mary

JUDGMENT
(Delivered by M.DHANDAPANI, J.)

This appeal is filed against the order dated 27.03.2018 passed by this Court in W.P.No.12472 of 2017, wherein the prayer of the appellant herein to quash the RFP Bid Invitation No.1000021222 published on 23.02.2017 to award the license for "Exclusive Advertisement Rights" concession to design, develop and operate and market the advertising opportunity in the entire Airport Estate at Chennai Airport as illegal and unconstitutional, has been rejected, after a detailed consideration of the same.

2.The learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant has submitted that after the dismissal of the writ petition, the tender itself was withdrawn and the bid was cancelled and hence the writ appeal has become infructuous. It is his further submission that liberty may be given to the appellant to challenge the legal issues which have been raised in the writ petition.

3.In view of the above submission made by the learned senior counsel for the appellant, the writ appeal is dismissed as infructuous. If any fresh tender is issued in this connection, it is open to the appellant to raise the legal issues, if any such cause of action arises and if he is so advised, in accordance with law. No costs. Consequently the connected miscellaneous petitions are also dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

KM

To

+1cc to Ms.R.Maheswari, Advocate, S.R.No.32559

W.A.No.978 of 2018
and
C.M.P.Nos.8370 and 8371 of 2018

JP (CO)
CS/22/06/18