

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.03.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

Crl.O.P.Nos.23362 & 22646 of 2017 &
Crl.M.P.Nos.13588 & 13209 of 2017

Dr.R.Ramya ... Petitioner/3rd Accused in both
Crl.O.Ps

Vs.

1.State by:

The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch - I,
Chennai.

... 1st Respondent in both Crl.O.Ps

2.Noramary

... 2nd Respondent in Crl.O.P.No.23362/17

2.Narayaswamy

... 2nd Respondent in Crl.O.P.No.22646/17

COMMON PRAYERS: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to call for the records in Crime Nos.12 & 322 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner

in both Crl.O.Ps

: Mrs.R.Aparna

For Respondent-1

in both Crl.O.Ps

: Mrs.P.Kritika Kamal
Government Advocate

(Crl.Side)

For Respondent 2

in Crl.O.P.No.23362 of 2017

: Mr.S.Shankar

For Respondent 2

in Crl.O.P.No.22646 of 2017

: No appearance

COMMON ORDER

These petitions have been filed by the petitioner seeking to quash the proceedings in Crime Nos. 12 & 322 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent.

2. Heard Mrs.R.Aparna, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mrs.P.Kritika Kamal, learned Government Advocate

(Crl. side) appearing for the first respondent in both Crl.O.Ps and Mr.S.Shankar, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent in Crl.O.P.No.23362 of 2017. There is no representation for the second respondent in Crl.O.P.No.22646 of 2017.

3.The various grounds raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner are that, both the complaints are bogus in nature. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., would not be justified in giving any findings of these factual aspects. Though the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner raised several grounds, trying to impress this Court that such an incident narrated in the complaint may not have occurred, I am consciously refraining myself from giving any of my views, in view of the fact that these are subject matters for the investigation and the views of the Court may influence the Investigating Officer. In any case, it would not be appropriate for this Court to step into the shoes of the Investigating Officer by exercising its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

4. In view of the above, the Investigating Officer shall complete the investigation and file a final report within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order. While conducting such investigation, the Investigating Officer shall ensure that the investigation should not cause any prejudice to the petitioner, which may amount to harassment.

5. With the above observations, the Criminal Original Petitions stands disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS IX)

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते
Sub Assistant Registrar

nl

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,Central Crime Branch - I, Chennai.
2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.Nos.23362 & 22646 of 2017 &
Crl.M.P.Nos.13588 & 13209 of 2017

EV(CO)
RRK(04/05/2018)