

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.03.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Writ Petition No.23554 of 2017
and
W.M.P.No.24707 of 2017

Irfan Ahmed

.... Petitioner

Vs.
The Regional Transport Authority
Vellore District.

...Respondent

Prayer:Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorarified mandamus to call for the records of the respondent dated 25.05.2016 and made in R.No.26279/A2/2016 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent herein to renew the permit to the petitioner in respect of mini bus bearing Registration No.TN 23 AQ 6003.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.A.Nissar Ahmed

For Respondent : Mr.R.Govindasamy
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

The petitioner is aggrieved against the order of the respondent rejecting the renewal application filed by the petitioner seeking for renewal of the mini bus permit, on the ground that the same was filed belatedly.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent.

3. It is not in dispute that the writ petitioner was originally granted mini bus permit and that he made application for renewal of such permit. The only objection raised by the respondent is that the application was filed after the expiry of 316 days time stipulated under the statute for making such application. In other words, it is the contention of the respondent that such application should have been made 15 days prior to the expiry of the original permit. No doubt, the petitioner has filed his application for renewal after the expiry of such period. But at the same time, the case of the petitioner is that he was unwell at the relevant point of time and therefore, he was not in a position to make his application

within the time. It is stated that the petitioner has filed Medical Certificate before the respondent.

4. The respondent, while admitting the fact that the reason stated for belated filing was due to the illness of the petitioner, has not stated any reason as to how the respondent is not convinced with such reason. In other words, the respondent has not doubted the illness of the petitioner. However, the impugned order came to be passed only by stating that the application was filed belatedly.

5. Needless to say that when the respondent is having power to condone the delay and when the petitioner is said to have filed Medical Certificate in support of his contention, the respondent is not justified in passing the order impugned in this writ petition mechanically stating that the same is filed belatedly. I find every justification to accept the reasons for condonation.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner also relied on a decision of this Court made in W.P.No.38431 of 2016 dated 04.11.2016, passed under similar circumstances wherein this Court, after condonation of delay, has directed the authority to consider the renewal application on merits.

7. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed and the impugned order is set aside. The matter is remitted back to the respondent for considering the application filed by the petitioner seeking for renewal of the mini bus permit and dispose of the same in accordance with the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Such exercise shall be done by the respondent within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-VI)

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते
Sub Assistant Registrar

vsi
To

The Regional Transport Authority
Vellore District.

+1cc to Mr.N.A.NISSAR AHAMED Advocate, S.R.No. 17479
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No. 17783

W.P.No.23554 of 2017

VD(CO)
TR(20/03/2018)