

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.2.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

C.R.P.(PD) No.314 & 315 of 2018 and
C.M.P.No.1684 & 1685 of 2018

V.G.Sakunthala (deceased)
V.G.Srinivasan

.... Petitioner/Plaintiff
in both CRPs

Vs.

- 1 Dr.Mohana Samson
- 2 Chennai Central Co-operative Bank
M.R.C.Nagar branch,
Chennai 600 028.
- 3 Chennai Central Co-operative Bank
Karneeswar street
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.
- 4 Saravanan
Sippy Medicals,
Mylapore, Chennai - 28.
- 5 Tamilnadu bakery
Rep. by Mr.Rarheem
Mylapore, Chennai - 4.
- 6 Santhome Sports
rep. by Prop. Mr.Newton Joseph
Mylapore, Chennai - 4.

7 Geojit
rep. by its Proprietor Mr.C.M.Mathew
Chennai - 28.

8 Dr.Sibe Gowrin
Physiotherapist,
Mylapore, Chennai - 28.

9 State Bank of India
Santhome branch,
Chennai - 4.

... Respondents/ Defendants
in both CRPs.

These Civil Revision Petitions are filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order, dated 16.11.2017 made in I.A.No.127 and 128 of 2017 in O.S.No.3751 of 2012 on the file of the XIX Additional Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner in both CRPs.: Mr.R.Thiagarajan
For Respondent No.1 : Mr.Sirish Chowdary for
in both CRPs. M/s.T.M.Naidu & Co.

COMMON ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that a memo has been filed before the court below stating that the learned counsel for the first defendant has undertaken that he would not precipitate the matter. The learned counsel for the petitioner produced a copy of the memo wherein it is stated that the learned Judge observed that "there is no stay by the High Court and the case is reserved for judgment, which shall be delivered on 19.2.2018.

2 Today, the counsel on record for the respondent submitted that he has not received any memo from the counsel for the petitioner and therefore, counsel for the respondent has not placed the undertaking made before this Court before the court below. The learned counsel for the respondent further submitted that judgment was delivered on 19.2.2018. Therefore, nothing survives in the Civil revision petition.

3 By recording the submissions made by the counsel for the parties, both the Civil revision petitions are dismissed as infructuous. It is open to the parties to raise objections raised in the Civil revision petition before the appellate Court. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.


20.02.2018

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
vaan

To
The XIX Additional Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai

WEB COPY

D.KRISHNAKUMAR,J.
vaan



C.R.P.(PD) No.314 & 315 of 2018
and C.M.P.No.1684 & 1685 of 2018

WEB COPY

Dated: 20.2.2018



WEB COPY