

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.04.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

Crl.O.P.No.3836 of 2018

V.Nedumaran

...

Petitioner

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu rep by its
Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch 3rd Team,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Vepery, Chennai.

2.V.M.Jothimani

3. S.selvi ... Respondents
R2 & R3 impleaded as per the order dated 28.02.2018 made in
Crl.mp No. 3006 & 3007/18 in Crl.o.P.No.3836/18.

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of
the Code of Criminal Procedure praying to direct the learned
Judicial Magistrate Court No:1 at Poonamalee to complete the
trial as expeditiously possible is now pending in C.C.No.229 of
2013 on the file of learned Judicial Magistrate Court No:1 at
Poonamalee.

For Petitioner : Mr.Vimal B.Crimson

For Respondents : Mr. Shanmugasundaram, Sr. Counsel
for Mr. J. Ravindran for R2 & R3

Ms. P. Kritika Kamal,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side) For R1

ORDER

This Criminal Original petition is filled seeking direction
to the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No:1 at Poonamalee to
complete the trial made in C.C.No.229 of 2013 as expeditiously
possible.

2. Heard Mr.Vimal B.Crimson, learned counsel for the
petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.

3. Mr. Shanmuga Sundaram, learned Senior counsel appearing for respondents 2 & 3 took very serious objections to certain allegations made by the petitioner in his petition, which are not related to the case at hand. However, he fairly stated that a direction may be given to the trial court to complete the trial, as expeditiously as possible.

4. Be that as it may, without going into the said allegations, this Court is of the view that interest of Justice will be served, if a direction is given to the trial Court to complete the trial in C.C. No.229 of 2013, within a period of six months.

5. In view of the above, the accused is directed to co-operate with the trial Court and cross-examine the prosecution witnesses on the day they are examined-in-chief, as held by the Supreme Court in Vinodh Kumar Vs State of Punjab reported in 2015[1] MCJ [Cr1] 288. The accused shall not adopt any dilatory tactics.

6. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

avr

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No:1, Poonamalee.

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court Madras.

3.The Inspector of Police, 3rd Team Central Crime Branch office of the Commissioner of Police Vepery, Chennai.

+1cc to Mr.Vimal B.Grimson, Advocate, S.R.No.25400

+1cc to Mr.J.Ravindram, Advocate, S.R.No.25278

Cr1.O.P.No.3836 of 2018

SS(CO)

RRK(16/04/2018)