

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.06.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATRUGHANA PUJAHARI

W.P.No.32760 of 2017

M.Latha

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation (TANGEDCO),
No.144, Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.
 2. The Chief Engineer (Personnel),
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Corporation,
No.144, Anna Salai,
Chennai 600 002.
 3. The Chief Engineer,
Mettur Thermal Power Station - I
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Corporation,
Mettur Dam - 636 406
- Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to pass orders on the representation of the petitioners' dated 10.11.2017 in the light of the order passed in Memo No.081451/1310/G42/G422/2017-1 dated 28.09.2017 on the file of the 2nd respondent and to repost the petitioner in the existing vacancy as Full time Regular employee with all attendant benefits instead of part Part Time Conservancy workers.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Doraisamy
For Respondents : Mr.P.R.Dhilipkumar, Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking a direction to the respondents to pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 10.11.2017 in the light of the order passed in Memo No.081451/1310/G42/G422/2017-1 dated 28.09.2017 on the file of the 2nd respondent and to repost the petitioner in the existing vacancy as Full time Regular employee with all attendant benefits instead of part Part Time Conservancy workers.

2. The grievance expressed by the petitioner is that though he had rendered 14 years of service, her service was regularized only as Part Time Conservancy worker. However, in similar circumstances, some of the persons who are working under the 3rd respondent were appointed as a regular worker in Memo No.081451/1310/G42/G422/2017-1 dated 28.09.2017, in view of the order passed in WP Nos.25423 and 25433 of 2013 dated 09.01.2014. In this regard, the petitioner submitted a representation to 2nd respondent. Since, no response has been received from the respondents, the petitioner came

forward to file this writ petition.

3. Heard the submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, so also, perused the material on record.

4. Though, the learned Standing counsel has accepted notice on behalf of respondents 1 to 3, no counter affidavit has been filed.

5. Considering the nature of relief sought for by the petitioner without going into the merits of the petitioner's representation, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition in the absence of any counter affidavit, with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 10.11.2017, if it is pending, in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. However, It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the representation submitted by the petitioner.

26.06.2018

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

rka

SATRUGHANA PUJAHARI.,J
rka

1. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation (TANGEDCO),
No.144, Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.
2. The Chief Engineer (Personnel),
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Corporation,
No.144, Anna Salai,
Chennai 600 002.
3. The Chief Engineer,
Mettur Thermal Power Station - I
Tamil Nadu Generation & Distribution Corporation,
Mettur Dam - 636 406

W.P.No.32760 of 2017

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY

26.06.2018