

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.12.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE C.T.SELVAM
and
THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

H.C.P. No.1790 of 2018

Saraswathi

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By the Secretary to the Government,
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai City,
Vepery, Chennai - 600 007.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records relating to the detention order passed by the second respondent pertaining to the order made in Memo No.628/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated 01.08.2018 in detain the detainee under 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982, as a Goonda and quash the same and direct the respondent to produce the detainee Akash, Son of Jeevagan, aged about 23 years, who is detained at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Sundar Rajan

For Respondents : Mr.R.Prathap Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by C.T.SELVAM, J.]

The petitioner, who is the aunty of the detenu, namely, Akash, Son of Jeevagan, aged 23 years, challenges the impugned order of detention, dated 01.08.2018 in No.628/BCDFGISSSV/2018 detaining her elder sister's son as "GOONDA", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of dangerous activities of Boot leggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14/1982).

2.The ground case has been registered against the detenu in Crime No.624/2018 on the file of the Inspector of Police, T-3 Korattur Police Station for offences u/s. 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 435 & 302 IPC. The detention order has been passed by second respondent in No.628/BCDFGISSSV/2018 on 01.08.2018.

3. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

4. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, confines his argument only in respect of non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority in passing the order of detention.

5. The Grounds of Detention would reveal that a ground case was registered against him in Cr.No.624/2018 for the offences u/s. 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 435 & 302 IPC. Admittedly, the detenu has not moved any bail application in the ground case. Therefore, the probability of release of the detenu imminently does not arise. Hence, we are inclined to set aside the detention order. Though the detaining authority has made reliance on similar case, in which an accused was granted bail, the facts involved in those cases are different. The principle of similarity cannot be applied mechanically. Normally, bails are granted based on the facts and circumstances of each case. Therefore, similar case plea, cannot be taken into consideration. Hence, there is no material to substantiate that there is real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail and the said order was passed without application of mind.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in No.628/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated 01.08.2018, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, namely, Akash, Son of Jeevagan, aged about 23 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Deputy Registrar

//True copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kkn

To:

- 1.The Secretary to the Government,
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai City,
Vepery, Chennai - 600 007.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal,
Chennai.
- 4.The Joint Secretary
Public (Law and order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.

WEB COPY

H.C.P.No.1790 of 2018

GMV (03/01/2019)