



WEB COPY

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.02.2018

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH

Contempt Petition Nos.2556 to 2564 of 2016

S.Sugumaran	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2556 of 2016
S.Nazar	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2557 of 2016
S.Ravichandran	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2558 of 2016
T.C.Ethirajalu	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2559 of 2016
M.J.Baskar	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2560 of 2016
M.J.Sekar	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2561 of 2016
M.J.Janakiraman	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2562 of 2016
V.Karpoorammal	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2563 of 2016
K.Sundari	..	Petitioner	in Cont.P.2564 of 2016

Vs.

Mr.Dharmendra Prasad Yadave
Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board,
No.5, Kamaraj Salai,
Chennai-5.

... Respondents in all the above Cont.Ps.

Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Court Act, to punish the respondents for willful and deliberate disobedience of the common order passed by this Court in W.P.Nos.28186 to 28194 of 2015, dated 23.02.2016.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Selvendran

For Respondents : Mr.M.Rajasekar



WEB COPY

COMMON ORDER

This Court, while disposing of the writ petitions in W.P.Nos.28186 to 28194 of 2015, by a common order dated 23.02.2016, directed the petitioners to give fresh representation to the 1st respondent and on such representation, the 1st respondent was directed to reconsider the claim of the petitioners and to pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks.

2.The present contempt petitions have been filed by the petitioners alleging non-compliance of the order dated 23.02.2016 passed by this Court.

3.Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that the order of this Court has been complied with by the respondents and that the respondents have passed an order on 14.02.2018 rejecting the claim of the petitioners.

4.Since the order of this Court has been complied with, nothing survives in these contempt petitions and accordingly, the same are closed. However, the petitioners



3

are at liberty to challenge the order passed by the respondents, in the manner known law, if they so desire.

WEB COPY

SD/-
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM.CASES)

SSV

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2018.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

PS/17/12/2018