

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 5.9.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

W.A.No.1917 of 2018

V.Krishnamurthi

Appellant

Versus

1. The Chief Information Commissioner
State Information Commission
No.2 Sir Thiyagarajar Salai
Near Alaiyammal Koil
Teynampet Chennai-600 018

2 The Public Information Officer &
Personal Assistant to
District Supply Officer
Collector's Office Thanjavur

Respondents

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed filed under Clause 15 of the Letters
Patent against the order dated 10.11.2016 passed in W.P.No.39281
of 2016 on the file of this court.

Prayer in WP 39281/2016

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of
India praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus
calling for the entire records connected with the order dated
30.05.2016 in case No. 20845/C/2011 and quash the same and
direct the respondents to take appropriate action u/s.20(1) and
section 20(2) of the Right to Information Act.

For appellant : Ms.D.Asenthamani

For respondents : Mr.P.S.Sivashanmugasundaram
Special Government Pleader

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the court was made by HULUVADI G.RAMESH, J.)

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant and
Mr.P.S.Sivashanmugasundaram, learned Special Government Pleader,
who takes notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. It appears that the appellant had sought for some information under the Right to Information Act and reply for the same was furnished belatedly and in that regard, the appellant had claimed for initiating action against the official, who is alleged to have erred by not furnishing the information in time, but, such a claim of the appellant was closed by the authorities by observing that the application seeking information was not originally received by the authority because of furnishing of wrong address, which resulted in the delay of furnishing the information. Not satisfied with the same, the appellant had moved the writ court.

3. Appreciating the above aspects, the learned Single Judge has rightly dismissed the writ petition. But, still, the appellant seeks to harp on the issue without there being any cause. In that view of the matter, the writ appeal is dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ssk.

To:

1 The Chief Information Commissioner
State Information Commission
No.2 Sir Thiagarajar Salai
Near Alaiyammal Koil
Teynampet Chennai-600 018

2 The Public Information Officer &
Personal Assistant to
District Supply Officer
Collector's Office Thanjavur.

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.62142

W.A.No.1917 of 2018

AK(CO)

rrs 23/10/2018