

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 10-09-2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.12251 of 2017

And

W.M.P.Nos.13041, 13043 and 15779 of 2017

M/s.Sri Chakra Compute Offset Printing
Represented by its Proprietor K.Gnanavel,
No.40/80, Double Street,
Kolinjivadi,
Dharapuram-638 673,
Tirupur District. .. Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Vellore,
Vellore District.
- 3.The Project Director, सतयमेव जयते
District Rural Development Agency,
Collectorate,
Vellore District,
Vellore.
- 4.Ravi Metal Works,
Represented by its Proprietor Mr.S.Ravikumar,
56/7, Vivekanandha Street,
Thiru Nagar,
Vellore District-632 006. .. Respondents

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution

of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the third respondent made in proceedings No.Nil dated 18.4.2017 published online of the website of the third respondent under the heading "Solid and Liquid based Management Scheme Pushcart 2016-2017" and quash the same in so far as the rejection of the tender of the petitioner is concerned regarding Technical Bid submitted pursuant to the tender for rate contract for the supply of pushcart for garbage handling and direct the third respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for the Technical Bid and Price Bid as on merits and the tender conditions along with other eligible tenderers including the fourth respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Silambanan, Senior Counsel for
Mr.M.Senthamizh Selvan

For Respondents-1&2 : Mr.M.Karthikeyan,
Additional Government Pleader.

For Respondent-3 : Mr.P.V.Selvakumar

For Respondent-4 : Mr.M.Balaji

ORDER

The tender notice, issued by the third respondent, in respect of Solid and Liquid Based Management Scheme Pushcart 2016-2017, is under challenge in this writ petition.

2. The learned Senior Counsel, appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner, made a submission that though the project was already completed, based on the work allotment order given in favour of the fourth respondent, the larger issues are to be addressed.

3. This Court is of an opinion that if at all the writ petitioner is aggrieved from and out of the execution of the work or otherwise, it is left open to the writ petitioner to file a complaint or otherwise before the authorities concerned. However, in respect of the grounds raised in the present writ petition, this Court is of an opinion that the cause aroused for filing the writ petition became vanished. Thus, the writ petition stands closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also

closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-VI)

//True copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Svn

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Vellore,
Vellore District.
- 3.The Project Director,
District Rural Development Agency,
Collectorate,
Vellore District, Vellore.

+1cc to Mr.P.V.Selvakumar, Advocate SR.No.62779

+1cc to Government Pleader SR.No.63472

WP 12251 of 2017

GMV (03/10/2018)

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY



सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY