

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.01.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

S.A.No.1866 of 2000

1.Sivanandham
2.Chinnathambi
3.Kuppusami

... Appellants/Defendants

Vs.

Palani Padayachi

.... Respondent/Plaintiff

Prayer:

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C. against the judgment and decree dated 14.08.2000 in A.S.No.21/99 before the Sub Court Panruti, preferred against the judgment and the decree dated 19.07.1999 in O.S.No.1355/85 on the file of the District Munsif's Court, Panruti.

For Appellants : Ms.R.Meenal

For Respondents : C.Ramesh kumar

J U D G M E N T

The defendants who lost the case in both the Courts below have filed the second appeal before this Court.

2.When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the appellants submitted that the matter has been settled out of Court between the parties. An endorsement to that effect has also been made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellants in the bundle. In view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellants, the second appeal is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

pri

To

1. The Subordinate Judge,
Panruti.


2. The District Munsif,
Panruti.

Copy to
The Section Officer,
VR Section,
High Court,
Madras (2 Copies)

+1cc to Ms.R.Meenal, Advocate, S.R.No.753

S.A.No.1866 of 2000

SSI(CO)
CS/12/02/18



WEB COPY