



WEB COPY

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.04.2018

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH**

**Cont.P.No.739 of 2017**

Mr.M.Thandavarayan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.Mr.Nazhiyemuddhin,  
Secretary to Government,  
State of Tamil Nadu,  
Forest and Environment Department,  
Fort St., George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.Dr.N.Krishnakumar,  
Principal Chief Conservator of Forest,  
Panagal Building, Saidapet,  
Chennai-600 015.
- 3.Mr.Suresh Thoman,  
Forest Ranger Officer,  
Arokonam Social Forestry Range,  
Walajpet (T.K.), Vellore (D.T).
- 4.Mr.Arokiya Xavier,  
Divisional Forest Officer,  
Interforce Forestry Division,  
Dharmapuri District, Dharmapuri-5.
- 5.Mr.Arun Goyal,  
Principal Accountant General of T.N.,  
Accounts and Entitlement,  
O/o the Accountant General,  
Teynampet, Chennai-18.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Court Act, seeking to punish the respondents for willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this Court dated 22.09.2016 made in W.P.No.33153 of 2016.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Rajesh  
For respondents : Mr.K.K.Ramesh, GA (For R1)  
Mr.V.Vijayashankar (For R2 to R5)

**ORDER**

This Court, while allowing the writ petition in W.P.No.33153 of 2016, on 22.09.2016, has passed the following order\_

"4.It is admitted on both sides that the issue raised in the present writ petition is covered by the decision of this Court made in W.P.No.8205 of 2011, dated 19.4.2011 and W.P.No.13832 of 2011, dated 29.6.2011. Both these orders were confirmed by the Division Bench in W.A.Nos.27 and 28 of 2012 by a common Judgment, dated 13.2.2012. The Special Leave Petitions filed by the State against these Judgments in S.L.P.(Civil) Nos.14838 and 14839 of 2012 were also dismissed on 10.5.2012.

5.Therefore, the present writ petition is allowed on the same lines as the above writ petitions were allowed. The orders shall be implemented within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous



2.Now, alleging non-compliance of the said order dated 22.09.2016, the present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner.

3.Today, when the matter is taken up, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate appearing for the 1st respondent, submitted that the order of this Court has been complied with by the respondents.

4.Since the order of this Court has been complied with, nothing survives in this contempt petition and accordingly, the same is closed.

SD/-  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM.CASES)

ssv

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2019.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25<sup>th</sup> day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

PS/21/02/2019