

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras

Dated : 11.10.2018

Coram :

The Honourable Mr.Justice T.S.SIVAGNANAM

and

The Honourable Mrs.Justice V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

Tax Case Appeal No.278 of 2011

The Commissioner of Income Tax,
Salem ...Appellant/ appellant
Vs
B.A.Rajagopal ...Respondent/Respondent

APPEAL under Section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 against the order dated 14.2.2011 in ITA No.2087/Mds/2010 on the file of the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal Chennai 'A' Bench for the assessment year 2001-02 against the order of the commissioner of Income Tax(a) No.3, Gandhi Road, Salem 636 007 dt.20.09.2010, made in ITA NO.109/09-10, AND against the Assessment order, Passed by the Income Tax officer Ward(2), Krishnagiri, Dated.19/10/2006 Made in PAN/GIR NO.R.298/AACHB1300A.

For Appellant : Mr.T.R.Senthilkumar
For Respondent : Mr.N.Murali

Judgment was delivered by T.S.SIVAGNANAM, J

Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. This appeal by the Revenue challenges the order passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, which decided the issue in favour of the assessee.

3. The Revenue seeks to withdraw the appeal on account of low tax effect in terms of Circular No.3 of 2018 dated 11.7.2018 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes.

4. In the light of the above, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn and the substantial question of law framed is left open. In the event the tax effect is above the

threshold limit fixed in the said circular, liberty is granted to the Revenue to make a mention to this Court to restore the appeal to be heard and decided on merits. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
The Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, Chennai 'A' Bench.CHENNAI

2.The commissioner of Income Tax(a) No.3,Gandhi Road,Salem
636 007


3.The Income Tax officer Ward(2), Krishnagiri.

+1cc to Mr.R.RAGHAVAN , Advocate SR.No. 71183

+1cc to Mr.T.R.Senthilkumar , Advocate SR.No. 71165

TCA.No.278 of 2011

ASK(15/11/2018)



WEB COPY