

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18.7.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.A.No.1502 of 2018

Sundaravadivelu

Appellant

Versus

1 The Joint Secretary
Room No.224 Department of Agriculture
Cooperation & Farmers Welfare
Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare
Krishi Bhawan New Delhi 110 001.

2 The Director
Complaint and Grievances Cell
Reserve Bank of India
16 Rajaji Salai Fort Glacis
Chennai-06

3 Imayam Multi State Housing
Cooperative Society
No.9 Choolaimedu High Road
Chitra Avenue Suresh Block-103
Chennai-600 094

Respondents

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 16.4.2018 passed in W.P.No.9147 of 2018 on the file of this court.

WP.No.9147 of 2018:Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioners representation dated 07.10.2017 within a stipulated period.

For appellant : Mr.T.S.Baskaran

For respondent : Mr.L.P.Shanmugasundaram,
Special Government Pleader

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the court was made by HULUVADI G.RAMESH, J.)

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant and Mr.L.P.Shanmugasundaram, learned Special Government Pleader, who takes notice on behalf of the first respondent.

2. Aggrieved against the order passed by the learned Single Judge in dismissing the writ petition and thereby declining to grant the mandamus, the writ petitioner is before this court.

3. The appellant/writ petitioner, who appears to be an Ex-Chairman of the third respondent-Society has submitted a representation dated 7.10.2017 to the first respondent-authority alleging about some business operations/credit related activities of the third respondent, which according to him is in violation of the norms and rules. Therefore, it is only for the respondent concerned to look into the grievance expressed by the appellant/writ petitioner as it is a matter of fact finding. Such a thing cannot be taken care through the writ petition.

4. If any such representation is given, it is for the respondent concerned to issue notice to the Society concerned and other persons involved and take a decision in accordance with law at the earliest. The order passed by the learned Single Judge is modified to the above extent. The writ appeal is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True copy// सत्यमेव जयते

Sub Assistant Registrar

+1cc to MR.T.S.Baskaran, Advocate SR.NO.47759

VBA (Co)

sm:27.8.2018

W.A.No.1502 of 2018