

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 5.2.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN

W.A.No.1815 of 2017

J.Jebaseeli Gnanapushpam

Appellant/Petitioner

Versus

Teachers Recruitment Board
rep. by its Chairman
4th Floor EVK Sampath Maaligai
DPI Compound College Road
Chennai 600 006

Respondent/Respondent

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 16.6.2017 passed in W.P.No.13758 of 2017 on the file of this court.

WP.No.13758 of 2017:Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to consider the petitioners application for the post of Post Graduate Assistants/ Physical Education Directors Grade I for Tamilnadu Higher Secondary Education Service as per advertisement No.03/2017 dated 9.5.2017

For appellant : Mr.Neelakandan for
Mr.P.R.Shankar

For respondent : Mr.P.S.Sivashanmugasundaram,
Special Government Pleader

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the court was made by HULUVADI G.RAMESH, J.)

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant and Mr.P.S.Sivashnamugasundaram, learned Special Government Pleader, who takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

2. This writ appeal is filed by the writ petitioner challenging the order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition filed seeking for a direction to consider her application for the post of Post Graduate Assistants/Physical Education Directors, Grade-I for Tamil Nadu

Higher Secondary Education Service.

3. It appears that the appellant after passing the SSLC in April 1991 passed the XII standard in March 1992 as a private candidate. It is relevant to note that in para 5 of the order, the learned Single Judge has observed as under:-

"I fully agree with the submission made by the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent. The reason is that the Prospectus clearly mentions that the candidates should possess M.A./M.Sc/M.Com in the relevant subject with B.Ed., in 10+2+3+2+1 or 10+3+3+2+1 pattern and the petitioner is admittedly having 10+1. Hence, his application cannot be entertained, as he was ineligible."

4. The above factual position is not disputed by the appellant. Therefore, it is clear that the appellant does not possess the qualification prescribed by the respondent and therefore, he was found ineligible. In the circumstances, nothing survives for consideration. Accordingly, the writ appeal is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ssk.

To:

Teachers Recruitment Board
rep. by its Chairman
4th Floor EVK Sampath Maaligai
DPI Compound College Road
Chennai 600 006

+1 cc to Mr.P.R.Shankar Advocate sr 8273
+1 cc to the Government Pleader sr 9400

W.A.No.1815 of 2017

ssv(co)
aa15/03/2018