

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.11.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

W.P.No.3408 of 2011

T.Bakkiyam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The General Manager,
State Bank of India,
Seerangapalayam, Salem

2. The Branch Manager,
State Bank of India,
Nangavalli Branch, Salem

... Respondents

Prayer: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation, dated 9.9.2010.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondents : Mr.R.R.Pradheep for
Mr.S.Sethuraman

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the respondents would submit that pursuant to the representation made by the petitioner, the writ petitioner has settled the loan amount to the respondent Bank and the petitioner's account was also closed and therefore, nothing requires for adjudication.

2. Recording the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondents, writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No Costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-IX)

True Copy

vaan

Sub-Assistant Registrar

+1 cc to M/s.S.Sethuraman, Advocate in SR No.79696

W.P.No.3408 of 2011

RJI (co)

ssm(14/12/2018)