

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.06.2018

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.VIMALA
AND
THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE S.RAMATHILAGAM

Habeas Corpus Petition No.254 of 2018

Shanthi

.. Petitioner

- Vs -

1. State of Tamil Nadu, Rep. by its Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police,
Vepery, Chennai 600 007

.. Respondents

Petition filed for the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus calling for the records relating to the impugned order in No.13/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated 11.01.2018 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein, to set aside the same as illegal and direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's son, the detinue, Karthick, S/o. Elumalai, aged 29 years, now confined at Central Prison II, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Hon'ble Court and to set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr. C.C.Chellappan

For Respondents : Mr. R.Prathap Kumar, APP

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was made by S.Vimala, J.,)

The second respondent, Commissioner of Police, Greater Chennai, clamped an order of detention on 11.01.2018 as against Karthick, S/o. Elumalai, aged 29 years, as the said authority arrived at the subjective satisfaction that the said detinue is a 'Goonda' and he has to be detained under the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 with a view to preventing him from acting prejudicial to the interest of public health and public order.

2. Challenging the order of detention, the mother of the detenu has come forward with the present Habeas Corpus Petition.

3. Heard Mr.C.C.Chellappan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.R.Prathap Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

4. It is contended that there is a delay in considering the representation and this has rendered the detention illegal.

4.1. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents submitted that there is a delay of 20 days only, in considering the representation and it in no way vitiates the order of detention.

4.2. Though such a contention is advanced, however, no explanation has been adduced by the respondents explaining the delay.

5. In the case of *Rashid Kapadia v. Medha Gadgil*, (2012 (11) SCC 745), the Supreme Court had occasion to consider the effect of delay in considering the representation and in that context held as under :-

"13.It is well settled that the right of a person, who is preventively detained, to make a representation and have it considered by the authority concerned as expeditiously as possible, is a constitutional right under Article 22(5). Any unreasonable and unexplainable delay in considering the representation is held to be fatal to the continued detention of the detenu. The proposition is too well settled in a long line of decisions of this Court. We do not think it necessary to examine the authorities on this aspect, except to take note of a couple of judgments where the principle is discussed in detail. They are: *Mohinuddin v. District Magistrate, Beed* [(1987) 4 SCC 58 : 1987 SCC (Cri) 674] and *Harshala Santosh Patil v. State of Maharashtra* [(2006) 12 SCC 211 : (2007) 1 SCC (Cri) 680]."

6. In view of the above proposition, the delay in considering the representation submitted by the petitioner, which has not been explained properly, has vitiated the order of detention. The delay is fatal to the order of detention and this has rendered the detention illegal.

7. On this short ground, the order of detention is quashed. The habeas corpus petition is allowed. The detainee, Karthick, S/o. Elumalai, aged 29 years, is ordered to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his custody is otherwise required in any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

srk

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police, Vepery, Chennai 600 007
3. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court, Chennai - 104
4. The Superintendent of Prisons, Central Prison II,
Puzhal, Chennai
5. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law & Order)
Fort St.George, Chennai-9.

H.C.P.No.254 of 2018

GP(CO)
CS/13/07/18

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY