

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 7.2.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE HULUVADI G.RAMESH
AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN

Contempt Petition No.224 of 2018

S.V.S.Educational and Social Trust,
rep. by its Chairman cum Managing Director,
No.74, Gandhi Road, Kallakurichi 606 202.
Villupuram District.

Petitioner

Versus

1. Mr.J.Radhakrishnan, I.A.S.,
The Secretary to Government of
Health and Family Welfare Department,
Fort St. George, Secretariat,
Chennai 600 009.
2. Mr.Mohan Piyare I.A.S.,
The Special Commissioner,
Director of Indian Medicine and Homeopathy,
Arignar Anna Hospital Complex
Arumbakkam, Chennai 600 106.
3. Dr.T.Balasubramanian, M.S., D.L.O.,
The Registrar,
The Tamil Nadu Dr.M.G.R.Medical University,
No.69, Anna Salai, Guindy, Chennai 600 032.
4. Dr.R.K.Manchanda
Central Council for Research in
Yoga and Naturopathy,
Government of India,
Ministry of Health and Family
Welfare Department,
No.61-65, Pankha Road,
Janakpuri New Delhi 110 058.

...Respondents

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Court Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for having committed wilful contempt of the order of this court made in C.M.P.No.677 of 2017 in W.A.No.44 of 2017 dated 15.3.2017.

For petitioner : Mr.S.Prabakaran, Senior Counsel for
Mr.R.Jayaprakash

For RR1 and 2 : Mr.M.Ezhumalai, Government Advocate

For R3 : Mr.D.Ravichander

ORDER

(Order of the court was made by HULUVADI G.RAMESH, J.)

The contempt petition has been filed by the institution alleging non-compliance of the miscellaneous order passed by this court, on the part of the authorities. However, it is seen that while disposing the miscellaneous petition, this court has directed the petitioner institution to rectify the deficiencies pointed out/observed by the inspection team as also the Court Observers as is reflected in the surprise inspection report in the interest of the students of the institution.

2. Nothing has been brought to the notice of this court with regard to compliance of such direction on the part of the petitioner nor anything has been corresponded by the petitioner with the University. Only if there is any material regarding compliance, then it will be feasible for the University to

examine and consider the same. It appears that the petitioner has not forwarded any details as to the compliance of the deficiencies pointed out by the Court Observer. Therefore, the contempt petition cannot be entertained and accordingly, it is closed.

SD/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM. CASES)

ssk

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2018.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

CO/11/04/2018

one cc to M/s. R. Jayaprakash, SR.No.2157.

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY



WEB COPY