

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.03.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

Crl.O.P.No.8454 of 2018

***DR.C.S.Ruprendra Kumar**

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. State represented by
Inspector of Police,
Arampakkam Police Station
Gummidipoondi Taluk,
Thiruvallur District.

2. The Joint Director of Rural and
Medical Health Services,
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur.

.. Respondents

PRAYER:

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
Pleaded to direct the respondent herein not to harass the
petitioner *** at the instance of any complaint in respect of
practice of alopathy medicine without due process of law.**

For Petitioner : M/s.R.Thamaraiselvan

For Respondents : Mr.C.Raghavan
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

सत्यमेव जयते
ORDER

It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent
police have been harassing him under the guise of an
enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers
of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

2.An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable
offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers
so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences
are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII
of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal
Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the
stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging

him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

3.This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

4.In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seeks for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

5.In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a)While summoning any persons named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such persons through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)] shall be strictly adhered to.

6.With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Audit)

*** Corrected order to be issued SAR**

05.04.2018

sd/-

Sub.Assistant Registrar

18.06.2018

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

dh

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Arampakkam Police Station
Gummidipoondi Taluk,
Thiruvallur District.
2. The Joint Director of Rural and
Medical Health Services,
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to M/s.R.Thamaraiselvan,
Advocate, S.R.No.20976

**To be substituted to the
order already despatched
on 20.04.2018**

Crl.O.P.No.8454 of 2018

SSI (CO)
CS/09/04/18
CS/19/06/18



WEB COPY